

Village of Lansing

MINUTES of a meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Lansing held on Monday, February 5, 2007, in the Village Office.

Present: Mayor Donald Hartill; Trustees, Larry Fresinski, Lynn Leopold, John O’Neill and Frank Moore; Clerk/Treasurer Jodi Dake; Attorney David Dubow; Planning Board Chairman Ned Hickey.

Mayor Hartill called the meeting to order at 7:33 P.M. and opened the public comment period. It was acknowledged by Mayor Hartill that Mayor Walter Lynn from the Village of Cayuga Heights was present at the meeting. There were no comments.

Motion-To Close the Public Comment Period

Trustee Fresinski moved to close the public comment period. Trustee Leopold seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye	Trustee-Larry Fresinski -Aye	Trustee Frank Moore- Aye
Trustee John O’Neill-Aye	Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye	

The next item was a public hearing on Proposed Local Law A. Dubow introduced the proposed law. He explained that this law addresses the front and side yard setbacks for irregular shaped lots. This proposal came about when Ben Curtis, Village Code & Zoning Officer, received a proposal and he discovered that the Village Zoning Law provisions provide for discretionary action by the Village Code & Zoning Officer in the case of rear yard identification for irregular lots, but not for side and front yards.

Motion- To Open the Public Hearing on Proposed Local Law A (2007) to Consider Amending the Village Codification-Zoning Law Front Yard and Side Yard Definitions

Trustee Leopold moved to open the public hearing. Trustee O’Neill seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye	Trustee Larry Fresinski -Aye	Trustee Frank Moore-
Aye	Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye	Trustee John O’Neill-Aye

David Dubow stated the County and adjoining municipalities had all been notified. Tompkins County Planning reviewed the proposal and determined that it has no negative inter-community or county-wide impacts. The only municipality to respond was the Town of Dryden and they had no comments. Lynn stated that this is a continuation of the process to “fine tune” our Zoning Regulations. There were no comments from the public.

Motion- To Close the Public Hearing

Trustee O’Neill moved to close the public hearing. Trustee Fresinski seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye	Trustee Larry Fresinski -Aye	Trustee Frank Moore-
Aye	Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye	Trustee John O’Neill-Aye

The Board decided to postpone completing the SEQR and acting on the proposed local law until later in the meeting.

The next item on the agenda was a presentation on Deer Management by Curt Armstrong and Dave Riehlman from the State Department of Environmental Conservation, Region 7 Wildlife Division out of Cortland. Dave stated that it is a community’s decision to control the deer population and to come up with a plan. Dave handed out documents that lay

out their philosophy. Things that can be done to help control the deer population are making adjustments with plantings, using fencing and using repellents. If there is a high deer population, then these basic efforts won't work. If there are too many deer in any one area the only way to solve this is to remove them.

People place many values, both positive and negative, on deer. Whether deer are desirable or not is a matter of personal opinion. People, such as motel, restaurant and sporting goods store owners derive income from the deer related activities of others. Others enjoy watching them. Some of the problems are property damage and personal injuries that occur due to deer/car collisions. Deer feeding often causes damage to trees, shrubs, gardens and agricultural crops. Personal health concerns also arise due to some diseases.

Hunting is not allowed within 500 feet of a dwelling unit, church or school grounds. A land owner can get a nuisance permit, but if there are landowners within 500 feet of his property he must also get their permission. This is a NYSDEC regulation. The Village cannot regulate hunting or fishing. They can, however, have regulations or ordinances that are stricter for their area as the Village of Lansing does. It is up to a community to decide how they want to deal with the deer population.

Municipalities can get more permits to distribute. It was asked what can be done with all this deer meat. There are people who will take the deer, grind it up into hamburger meat and they then donate it to not for profit organizations. This information can be found online.

If a deer is shot and wanders onto private property, a hunter must contact the Sheriff. The Sheriff will go to the property owner and ask if they may go on the property to retrieve the deer.

There are some experimental fertility control agents. This is done with biobullets, but if you aren't tagging the deer than you don't know which have been previously hit. It was asked if the fertilization they did in the Village of Cayuga Heights decreased the population. Dave would hesitate to say that it did because the harsh winter of 2002-03 was more likely the cause of deer reduction.

Frank asked if management was a regional effort. Curt stated that management is from unit to unit. Each area has different habitats and harvest materials.

The discovery of Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) in New York in 2005 resulted in the development of regulations by DEC and the Department of Agriculture and Markets (DAM) aimed at minimizing further introduction or spread of this disease. Some of these regulations impact the deer management options available. For instance, capture and relocation to farms is no longer an option. Feeding is also prohibited as stated in New York Code of Rules & Regulations (NYCRR) Part 189, 3 (b). Currently there is no evidence of CWD in our area.

Curt presented the Deer Management Assistance Program (DMAP). This program offers landowners and land managers opportunities to improve deer management on the lands they own or control. There are strict rules and regulations on how to do this. The DMAP program was set up to be a site specific management tool. Currently they have issued 207 DMAP permits. DMAP addresses hot spots that need management. It has been a very popular and successful program.

To enroll in the program, an application must be submitted by September 1<sup>st</sup>. The different application categories are agricultural, municipality, significant natural communities, forest regeneration or custom deer management. One must come up with a plan that identifies the specific deer problem and clearly describes the management goals and how progress will be evaluated. The Mayor stated that there are about 30-35 deer each killed each year on our 16 miles of road here in the Village. Dave stated that our plan could be based on that identified problem and our goal could be to reduce this number to a much lower number such as 10.

The Regional Department Wildlife Manager can provide a management plan outline to applicants to help them meet this requirement. Dave has no municipal category applicants and has called around to other regions, but no one seems to have a plan for a municipality. There are lists of available hunters that can be provided to the Village. There is no

limit on the number of DMAP tags that can be requested by a municipality, but one must prove that they are using most of them. If an applicant fails to report the results of previous DMAP permits in a timely manner the next year's application may be rejected.

Walter Lynn asked if this could be done on a county-wide scale. Dave stated that it is not customary or desirable to do on such a large, but each municipality could do it.

Lynn Leopold asked what the trend was in hunting permits. Dave stated that the number of licenses is down and the effort is less. The average age of hunters is increasing. Dave stated that this year there were 35 hunting related accidents. Lynn thought that was high. Dave explained that with 600,000 hunters, this number of accidents is actually quite good. More people are involved in automobile accidents than hunting related accidents.

Basically, It was concluded that hunting is the only effective way of controlling the deer population. Relocating deer is not allowed because it is simply moving the problem instead of solving it, and it can spread disease. Sterilization techniques are still in the experimental stages and are not reliable. The Village will look into the options of DMAP and nuisance permits.

The Mayor changed the agenda so that they could next consider the Millcroft Road Dedication. Viola and Bob Miller and their attorney Mike May were all present. David Dubow explained that when a new subdivision goes in we usually get offered the roads for dedication. David is still awaiting further word from Brent Cross, who is intending to speak with John

Courtney about one remaining open item. There will be a warranty period and a security deposit as usual. Brent Cross will present this to the Board after the public hearing. Frank asked if David knew of any reason why the Board should not accept the road. He did not.

#### Resolution #5300-To Accept Offer of Dedication of Millcroft Way

WHEREAS, the Village of Lansing has prior hereto received in writing from Millcroft Trust ("Millcroft") an Offer of Dedication for Millcroft Way located in Phase I of the Millcroft Subdivision in the Village of Lansing; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Lansing wishes to accept the Offer of Dedication, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board of Trustees of the Village of Lansing as follows:

1. The Village of Lansing hereby accepts the Offer of Dedication made by Millcroft, subject to full and complete satisfaction of each of the following conditions:

- a. Satisfactory conclusion of the public hearing to be held in reference to the dedication of the subject property in accordance with Sections 6-612 and 6-614 of the Village Law of the State of New York;

Confirmation from the Village Engineer that (i) all required work with respect to the proposed road right of way and related improvements has been completed as required and (ii) acceptance of the road right of way and related improvements is thereby recommended;

Delivery to, review by and approval of the Village Attorney of original and executed copies of each and every title document, conveyance document, warranty document, financial security document and other related documents required in order to complete conveyance of the subject property in accordance with all applicable provisions of the State of New York and Village of Lansing laws, rules and regulations, such conveyance to include (i) all water and

sewer mains and other improvements located within the bounds of such premises, and (ii) an easement for a temporary turnaround at the southeasterly end (in Lot 20 of such Subdivision) of Millcroft Way as offered for dedication;

Receipt by the Village Attorney from Millcroft of a satisfactory and fully updated abstract of title and all related title and transfer documents to confirm that the premises will be conveyed free and clear of all liens and encumbrances other than customary public utility easements of record; and

Recording and filing of the warranty deed conveying the subject property to the Village of Lansing, and recording and filing of any other documentation necessary to complete the conveyance of such property free and clear of all liens and encumbrances other than customary public utility easements of record.

2. A public hearing shall be conducted in regard to this Offer of Dedication at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Lansing, on March 5, 2007 at 7:35 PM, all in accordance with the provisions of Sections 6-612 and 6-614 of the Village Law of the State of New York.

The Village of Lansing's acceptance of the Offer of Dedication is expressly subject to the above-stated conditions, and such acceptance shall not become effective until each of said conditions has been satisfied in full.

Trustee Fresinski moved this resolution. Trustee O'Neill seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye	Trustee Larry Fresinski -Aye	Trustee Frank Moore-
Aye	Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye	Trustee John O'Neill-Aye

As Bob Miller was leaving he stated that he would be open to a deer hunt up in the Millcroft area.

Ned Hickey, Planning Board Chairman, presented some proposed changes to the Greenway Plan. The Greenway Plan was originally adopted in 1994. Since then we have done a lot of planning and adding to our greenway. The first proposal was to change the name of this document to "The Village Greenway and Park Plan". The Planning Board also recommended that our new goal be to "Establish neighborhood parks in new and existing residential neighborhoods when the current or proposed population density indicates a need for recreational facilities". Since we do not have this document on disk, it was recommended that the following exhibits be added to the plan as an addendum:

Exhibit A; "A Greenway Plan Update"

Exhibit B; "Street Addresses for Village Tax Parcels"

Exhibit C; "Requirements for the Reservation of Parkland and Recreation Land in Subdivisions"

Larry stated that it would be very easy to scan this document in and update it.

The Trustees received a copy of a proposed Intermunicipal Shared Services Agreement that was provided to David Dubow by the Town of Lansing Attorney. David reminded the Board that a similar document was circulated by the County in October of 2003 which the Board reviewed and then chose not to act on at that time. Don stated that one of John Courtney's concerns is how to properly handle the situation, which is somewhat common, when a municipality helps us for a short time and there are only minimal costs associated with the help provided. Dubow stated that the new agreement appears to be a renewed effort to formalize the process of intermunicipal shared services. The Board questioned why it should be changed if it works fine the way it is now. Since there is no time urgency, Don suggested that the Board read the proposed agreement with the understanding that it would be further discussed at the Thursday

meeting when John Courtney would be present.

Next on the agenda was approval of minutes from January 11, 2007.

Motion - To Approve the Minutes from January 11, 2007

Trustee Leopold moved that the draft meeting notes, as reviewed and revised by the Clerk/Treasurer and the Board, are hereby adopted as the official minutes. Trustee Fresinski seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Abstain	Trustee Larry Fresinski -Abstain	Trustee Frank
Moore- Aye	Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye	Trustee John O'Neill-Aye

The next item on the agenda was to discuss the upcoming budget schedule. Jodi explained that Carol usually bases when she sends out the newsletter on when the budget hearing is scheduled. There is no meeting Feb 19th (President's Day). There are meetings on March 5th & 19th. There is no meeting April 2nd due to Passover. The only April Meeting is therefore Monday the 16th which is one day beyond the statutory deadline for the Village to hold its public hearing. Jodi has called NYCOM and asked what their opinion was about having it on the 16th since the 15<sup>th</sup> falls on a Sunday, and they think its ok. Jodi also consulted Dubow on this matter, who indicated that there is statutory authority for extending the date when the 15<sup>th</sup> falls on a Sunday, and also a State Comptroller opinion that addresses exactly this situation. It was confirmed that everyone on the Board plans on being at the meetings in March and April.

It was proposed that the Board pass a resolution on March 19th to have the public hearing for April 16th. This would mean that everyone would have to have his or her budget recommendations to Mayor Hartill by February 23<sup>rd</sup> so that a tentative budget could be prepared for the March 5<sup>th</sup> meeting.

During Mayors comment Don explained that he had finally received and reviewed the engineer's report for the Town's proposed sewer project that he had been awaiting for some time, and had done a reanalysis of the Village's share of the proposed sewer project costs. The following is what was presented to the Board:

Reanalysis of the Village's Share of the Sewer Costs

In rethinking the fair way to approach the costs of the trunk sewer line costs there are several corrections that should be applied to the original proposed MOU between the Village and the Town of Lansing. These are:

1. The bond act funding for the trunk sewer line is only 31% of the total project cost (2,283 k\$/7,290 k\$ = 0.31) so the assumption of 50% in the original MOU is incorrect.
2. The gravity line down Cedar Lane and on to the Village of Cayuga Heights Waste Water Treatment Plant is now nearly 30 years old and will have to be replaced sometime in the future. The new gravity line would then represent an avoided cost when the existing line reaches the end of its useful live. The material used for the line has an expected life of around 60 years. The cost of the replacement of a 14 inch diameter line is less than the cost of the 24 inch diameter line needed to handle the additional flow from the Town of Lansing.
3. Part of the gravity system in the Town of Lansing to the Burdick Hill Road pump station will be used by the Village and its cost has to be shared appropriately by the Village. The ratio of Village users compared to the Town's users is still 8% using the fastest growth scenario in the environmental impact statement for the project.

With these corrections the Village's share of the trunk system amounts to:

Gravity line down Cedar Lane:

$$= 570 \text{ k\$} \times 0.69(\text{local share}) \times 0.5(\text{useful life}) \times 0.75 (14 \text{ inch dia. vs } 24 \text{ inch dia.})$$

= 147 k\$

Cayuga Heights Forced Main:

= (2,440 – 570) k\$ x 0.69(local share) x 0.08(use fraction)  
= 103 k\$

Gravity Trunk in Village north of Burdick Hill Road

= 890 k\$ x 0.69(local share) x 0.57(fraction in Village) x 0.04 (fraction of Village users)  
= 14 k\$

Total Village Share = 264 k\$

In addition to the Village Share of the Trunk Line Project the Village would also install a parallel gravity line beside the forced main for the project and construct a small pump station near the sharp bend in Cayuga Heights Road to accommodate the gravity flow from the top of the hill on Cayuga Heights Road north of Oakcrest Road. The parallel line would cost in the neighborhood of \$50 per foot to install along with cost of a small capacity pump station with an estimated cost of 150 k\$. This portion of the project would cost 270 k\$ for the gravity line and 150 k\$ for the pump station for a total of 420 k\$.

Combining this with the Village Share of the Trunk Line, the total project cost would be:

Total Project Cost = 682 k\$.

The Village would share the operating and maintenance cost of the forced main along Cayuga Heights Road and gravity main north of Burdick in the proportion of 8% and 4% respectively.

As in the previous version of the MOU, the share of the capital costs of the forced main along Cayuga Heights Road and the gravity main north of Burdick would be revisited every five years and adjusted based on the ratio of the EDU's in the Village and the Town using the facility at that time. If there were to be a significant increase in use by either party, the ratio would be adjusted sooner.

Frank stated that at the last Town sewer meeting Mary Chapel raised many questions as to how the funding and financing would work.

Dubow asked if the Board would like to go back and vote on Proposed Local Law A. The first step was to complete the SEQR Review. The Board reviewed Part I of the SEQR Short EAF that Dubow had prepared and then completed Part II, finding that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

Resolution #5301-SEQR Review of Proposed Local Law A (2007)

**WHEREAS:**

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Adoption of Proposed Local Law A (2007), to be designated Local Law 1 (2007) upon its adoption, providing for the amendment of Section 145-3 (entitled "Terms defined") of Chapter 145 (entitled "Zoning") of the Village of Lansing Code by revising the definitions of the terms "Yard, front" and "Yard, side" so as to provide for the exercise of discretion by the Zoning Officer in determining the location of the side yard(s) and front yard(s) in the case of irregular lots, such revisions to include additional language consistent with the language (in the definition of "Yard, rear") granting similar discretion to the Zoning Officer as to the location of rear yards in the case of such irregular lots; and
- B. This proposed action is an Unlisted Action for which the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees is an

involved agency for the purposes of environmental review; and

- C. On February 5, 2007, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees, in performing the lead agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQR”), (i) thoroughly reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the “Short EAF”), Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) thoroughly analyzed the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) completed the Short EAF, Part II;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOW:**

- 1. The Village of Lansing Board of Trustees, based upon (i) its thorough review of the Short EAF, Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) its thorough review of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) its completion of the Short EAF, Part II, including the findings noted thereon (which findings are incorporated herein as if set forth at length), hereby makes a negative determination of environmental significance (“**NEGATIVE DECLARATION**”) in accordance with SEQR for the above referenced proposed action, and determines that neither a Full Environmental Assessment Form, nor an Environmental Impact Statement will be required; and:
- 2. The Responsible Officer of the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees is hereby authorized and directed to complete and sign as required the Short EAF, Part III, confirming the foregoing **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**, which fully completed and signed Short EAF shall be attached to and made a part of this Resolution.

Trustee Fresinski moved to approve the foregoing resolution. Trustee Leopold seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Aye	Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye	Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye	Trustee Larry Fresinski -Aye	Trustee John O’Neill-Aye	Trustee Frank Moore-
-----	--------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------

Since there was a negative declaration, the Mayor presented the following resolution:

Resolution #5302- To Adopt Proposed Local Law A (2007) to Amend the Village Codification-Zoning Law Front Yard and Side Yard Definitions as Local Law 1 (2007)

**WHEREAS:**

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Adoption of Proposed Local Law A (2007), to be designated Local Law 1 (2007) upon its adoption, providing for the amendment of Section 145-3 (entitled “Terms defined”) of Chapter 145 (entitled “Zoning”) of the Village of Lansing Code by revising the definitions of the terms “Yard, front” and “Yard, side” so as to provide for the exercise of discretion by the Zoning Officer in determining the location of the side yard(s) and front yard(s) in the case of irregular lots, such revisions to include additional language consistent with the language (in the definition of “Yard, rear”) granting similar discretion to the Zoning Officer as to the location of rear yards in the case of such irregular lots; and
- B. The Village of Lansing Planning Board has (i) performed a thorough review of the proposed action, (ii) reviewed Proposed Local Law A (2007), and (iii) referred such Proposed Local Law A (2007) to the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees with a recommendation for its approval; and

- C. On December 18, 2006, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees preliminarily reviewed Proposed Local Law A (2007), discussed its purposes and intent, and thereupon scheduled a public hearing thereon for February 5, 2007; and
- D. On February 5, 2007, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees held a public hearing regarding this proposed action, and thereafter discussed and reviewed (i) Proposed Local Law A (2007) as recommended by the Village Planning Board, (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board’s deliberations;
- E. On February 5, 2007, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees determined that the proposed action is an Unlisted Action for which the Board is an involved agency, and thereupon, in performing the lead agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQR”), the Board (i) thoroughly reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the “Short EAF”), Part 1, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) thoroughly analyzed the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), (iii) completed the Short EAF, Part 2; and (iv) made a negative determination of environmental significance (“Negative Declaration”) in accordance with SEQR for the above referenced proposed action and determined that an Environmental Impact Statement would not be required; and
- F. On February 5, 2007, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees completed its review of (i) Proposed Local Law A (2007) as recommended by the Village Planning Board, (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board’s deliberations;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOW:**

- 1. The Village of Lansing Board of Trustees hereby adopts Proposed Local Law A (2007), to be designated Local Law 1 (2007).

Trustee Moore moved to adopt Local Law 1. Trustee O’Neill seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye	Trustee Larry Fresinski -Aye	Trustee Frank Moore-
Aye	Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye	Trustee John O’Neill-Aye

David advised the Board that NYS has adopted a requirement that all Planning & Zoning Boards members are to have 4 hours of continuing education each year unless otherwise modified or waived by the municipality. This training can be accomplished in various ways, including in house programs. There are also many local resources. If a member has more than 4 hours in a year, the excess credit can be carried over to the next year. Ned stated that all Planning Board members have been to a conference in the last two years. Frank feels this is a very good idea because they need to be educated. You can’t be on a board and vote if you don’t know what you are talking about.

Jodi has received her new computer and Williamson Law Book will be here on Wednesday to move over her accounting, budget, tax and water programs. The Board agreed to surplus the Clerk/Treasurer’s computer and allow her to purchase it.

Resolution #5303- To Surplus the Clerk/Treasurer’s Intel P4 Computer  
Which was Originally Purchased in 2002.

Trustee Fresinski moved this resolution. Trustee O’Neill seconded the motion. A vote was taken:



Aye Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye Trustee Larry Fresinski-Aye Trustee Lynn Leopold-  
Trustee Frank Moore- Aye Trustee John O'Neill-Aye

Lynn stated that at the last Stormwater Coalition meeting Craig Schutt asked municipalities to start invoicing him for round 7. Lynn doesn't think the Village did anything, but Jodi will look into it and send a note to Craig Scott at the TCSWCD.

Motion- To Go Into Executive Session to Discuss Personnel Issues

Trustee Leopold moved to go into executive session. Trustee O'Neill seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Aye Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye Trustee Larry Fresinski-Aye Trustee Lynn Leopold-  
Trustee Frank Moore- Aye Trustee John O'Neill-Aye

The Board went into executive session at 10:00PM.

Motion- To Come Out of Executive Session

Trustee Leopold moved to come out of executive session. Trustee Fresinski seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Aye Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye Trustee Larry Fresinski-Aye Trustee Lynn Leopold-  
Trustee Frank Moore- Aye Trustee John O'Neill-Aye

The Board came out of executive session at 10:25PM.

Resolution #5304 - To Authorize the Mayor to Use His Best Judgment and Discretion In Addressing the Problems Related to the Individual Employee discussed in Executive Session and Taking Appropriate Action Related Thereto

Trustee Fresinski moved this resolution. Trustee Leopold seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Aye Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye Trustee Larry Fresinski-Aye Trustee Lynn Leopold-  
Trustee Frank Moore- Aye Trustee John O'Neill-Aye

Motion- To Adjourn

Trustee Fresinski moved for adjournment. Trustee Leopold seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Aye Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye Trustee Larry Fresinski-Aye Trustee Lynn Leopold-  
Trustee Frank Moore- Aye Trustee John O'Neill-Aye

The meeting adjourned at 10:28 PM.

Jodi Dake

Clerk/Treasurer