Village of Lansing Planning Board Meeting January 9, 2012

- 1 The meeting of the Village of Lansing Planning Board was called to order at 7:00.M. by Chairman Mario
- 2 Tomei.

9

14

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

- 3 Present at the meeting were Planning Board Members Maria Stycos, Lisa Schleelein, Richard Durst, and
- 4 Phil Dankert; Alternate Member Jon Kanter; Trustee Lynn Leopold; Code Enforcement Officer Marty
- 5 Moseley; Village Attorney David Dubow; Board of Zoning Appeals Alternate Member: Dolores Adler;
- 6 Arrowhead Ventures representative Eric Goetzmann; Sumo Japanese restaurant owner Besie
- 7 Chen; Cayuga Mall owner Brixmor Properties Group representative Josh Berman; and Community
- 8 Party Observer Marjorie Pryce

Continuing Education:

- For approximately one hour, Jon Kanter presented a review of the 2011 New York Planning
- 11 Federation Conference courses regarding the SEQRA (State Environmental Quality Review Act) process,
- including common mistakes in the SEQRA process and pending revisions in the environmental
- assessment forms.

Public Comment Period

- Tomei opened the public comment period.
- With no one else wishing to speak, Durst moved to close the public comment period. Seconded by Stycos; Ayes: Tomei, Dankert, Stycos, Durst, and Schleelein.

Public Hearing to Consider:

Final Plat approval of the Lansing Meadows Planned Development Area subdivision, a minor subdivision by Arrowhead Ventures to divide one 10.339 acre lot into one 7.910 acres lot and one 2.429 acre parcel, pursuant to the provisions of Section 125-6 of the Village of Lansing Code. The lot to be subdivided is known as 40 Graham Road West and is located in the Lansing Meadows Planned Development Area District, Tax Parcel Number 47.1-1-17.2.

Tomei opened the Public Hearing. He indicated that the smaller piece of land adjacent to the parcel being proposed for subdivision currently has the existing house, which adjacent parcel will be consolidated into the PDA residential area following subdivision of the main property, and consolidation to be a condition of any subdivision approval.

Goetzmann noted that he already has filed with Tompkins County for the small piece of property to be consolidated.

Dubow clarified that the subdivision would separate the commercial portion and the residential portion of the Planned Development Area (PDA), but a majority of the wetland would be included with the commercial property. Dubow added that the original areas A, B, and C of the PDA should be generally consistent with the post-subdivision areas, and the delineated areas should still be required to comply with the applicable district regulations for Areas A, B and C.

35 Dankert moved to close the public hearing. Seconded by Durst, Ayes: Tomei, Dankert, Stycos, 36 Durst, and Schleelein. 37 Dubow noted that he and Moseley had determined that this specific project, being a 38 minor subdivision, is exempt from the formal SEQRA (State Environmental Quality Review Act) review. 39 40 The Board members discussed what conditions should be attached to any approval of the 41 final plat approval, and Tomei read the following resolution: 42 43 VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR FINAL PLAT 44 APPROVAL OF LANSING MEADOWS PDA MINOR SUBDIVISION ADOPTED ON 45 JANUARY 9, 2012 46 47 Motion made by: Richard Durst 48 49 Motion seconded by: Lisa Schleelein 50 51 WHEREAS: 52 53 A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Final Plat approval of 54 the Lansing Meadows Planned Development Area subdivision, a minor subdivision by 55 Arrowhead Ventures to divide one 10.339 acre lot into one 7.91 acre lot and one 2.429 acre 56 parcel, pursuant to the provisions of Section 125-6 of the Village of Lansing Code. The lot to be subdivided is known as 40 Graham Road West and is located in the Lansing Meadows 57 58 Planned Development Area District, Tax Parcel Number 47.1-1-17.2; and 59 B. On November 29, 2011 the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in accordance with 60 subsection D of Section 125-5 of the Village of Lansing Code, (i) reviewed the sketch plan 61 submitted with respect to this proposed action; and (ii) classified the proposed subdivision as 62 63 a minor subdivision; and 64 C. On January 9, 2012, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in accordance with Section 123-65 2 of the Village of Lansing Code, determined that the approval of the proposed minor 66 subdivision is a Type II action, and thus may be processed without further regard to Article 8 67 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality 68 69 Review Act ("SEQR); and 70 71 D. On January 9, 2012, the Village of Lansing Planning Board held a public hearing regarding 72 this proposed action, and thereafter thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the proposed final subdivision plat and accompanying materials and information presented by and on behalf of 73

the applicant in support of this proposed action, including information and materials related 74 75 to environmental issues, if any, which the Board deemed necessary or appropriate for its 76 review; (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board; and (iii) all issues 77 raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's 78 deliberations: 79 80 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS: 81 82 83 1. Based upon all of its foregoing review and action, it is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Planning Board that approval of the proposed Lansing Meadows Planned 84 Development Area Minor Subdivision is GRANTED, subject to the conditions and 85 requirements set forth below; 86 87 a. Prior to the signing of the final plat by the Chairman of the Planning Board, the plat 88 89 shall be revised to clearly show the boundaries of the Army Corps of Engineers delineated wetlands. 90 b. Within 30 days of the filing of the final subdivision plat with the Tompkins County 91 92 Clerk, the newly subdivided 2.429 parcel shall be consolidated with the adjoining parcel to the west (tax parcel number 47.1-1-17.6), such consolidated parcel to 93 94 thereupon constitute the senior/residential Area B of the Lansing Meadows Planned Development Area; and written confirmation of such consolidation shall be provided 95 to the Village Zoning and Code Enforcement Officer no later than March 31, 2012. 96 97 98 The Chairman of the Village of Lansing Planning Board is hereby authorized and directed to sign the final plat for the approved minor subdivision in accordance with subsection F of 99 100 Section 125-6 and subsection A of Section 125-15 of the Village of Lansing Code. 101 102 103 The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows: 104 105 AYES: Mario Tomei, Richard Durst, Maria Stycos, Phil Dankert, and Lisa Schleelein. 106 NAYS: None 107 108 109 The motion was declared to be carried.

110 Moseley noted that the proof of mailings have been supplied to the Village. Tops Outparcel Planned Sign Area (PSA) Continued Discussion: 111 112 Tomei presented language to the Board with respect to proposed amendments to the sign law. Tomei also noted that the Trustees indicated that they were not opposed to the new Applebee's awnings. 113 114 The proposed language reads as follows: 115 Proposed Sign Law Amendments (revisions in bold, italics, and underlined) § 115-3. Definitions 116 117 Sign area -- The surface area of the sign, including the frame, plate or structure used to hold up any lettering or pictorial matter. In the event that a sign is attached, painted or applied to the front or face of a 118 building or is irregular in shape, the area of the sign must be taken as the area of the smallest rectangle that 119 can be placed over the entire sign, including its lettering, devices, frame and decorative moldings along its 120 edges, and background if of a different color than the predominant color surrounding the sign except as 121 otherwise provided herein. In the event that both upper case and lower case letters are used in a lettered wall 122 123 sign, the area must be defined by the smallest rectangle that can be placed over the letters. In the event that a 124 letter or letters or other pictorial matter are placed as separate units without a background board, the sign area 125 must be calculated as the area of the smallest rectangle or circle that encloses all of the symbols. In the case of 126 a flat or two-sided freestanding sign, the sign area is considered to be the entire surface area of one (1) face of the sign. The sign area of signs having more than two (2) sides is the sum of the surface area of all sides. <u>In</u> 127 the event that a pictorial image or symbol is displayed on an awning, roof-like cover or canopy of a 128 building or other structure and the color and shade of such pictorial image or symbol do not, in the 129 130 determination of the Village Zoning Officer, exceedingly contrast with the main color and shade of the awning, roof-like cover or canopy, the pictorial image or symbol shall (i) not be deemed to be a sign and 131 (ii) not be included as part of the sign area (see definitions in §115-3). In the event that the Village Zoning 132 Officer determines that such proposed pictorial image or symbol may exceedingly contrast with the main 133 134 color and shade of the awning, roof-like cover or canopy, the Zoning Office shall thereupon refer the matter to the Planning Board as provided for in §115-7.2 B. 135 136 137 138 139 § 115-7.2. Permitted signs with a permit and Planning Board approval in Commercial and Business and Technology Districts, and in a commercial area included in an 140 authorized Planned Development Area [Added 6-15-2009 by L.L. No. 3-2009] 141

The following signs are permitted with a permit and Planning Board approval:

142

143

- A. Local tourist-oriented directional sign (see definition in §115-3 of this chapter 115) no greater in size than two and one-half (2.5) square feet and the top of which being no higher than nine (9) feet above the ground.
- 1. Prior to the issuance of the required permit for a local tourist oriented directional sign, the proposed sign must be approved by the Planning Board of the Village of Lansing.
 - 2. Upon receipt of a completed permit application for a tourist oriented directional sign, such application shall be referred by the Zoning Officer to the Planning Board Chairperson, whereupon (i) the application shall be scheduled for review by the Planning Board within thirty-one (31) days following the receipt of the application by the Planning Board Chairperson (or such subsequent meeting date to which the Planning Board Chairperson and applicant may agree); and (ii) the applicant shall be notified by the Zoning Officer of such scheduled meeting date. No public hearing shall be required. The Planning Board's determination shall be made within thirty-one (31) days following the meeting at which the application is reviewed by the Planning Board; provided, however, that the time within which the Planning Board must render its decision may be extended by mutual consent of the applicant and the Board.
 - 3. No approval of a local tourist-oriented directional sign will be granted by the Planning Board unless the proposed sign meets all of the following requirements:
 - (a) such local tourist-oriented directional sign will not obstruct or impair vision or traffic, or in any way create a nuisance, hazard or otherwise be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety or general welfare;
 - (b) such local tourist-oriented directional sign will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the vicinity or neighborhood;
 - (c) such local tourist-oriented directional sign is appropriate in appearance and in harmony with the design and color of the New York State tourist-oriented directional sign (see definition in §115-3 of this chapter 115) giving rise to the
 - necessity for such local tourist-oriented directional sign;
 - (d) the specific location of such local tourist-oriented directional sign is approved by the Village Superintendant of Public Works; and
 - (e) all other applicable provisions of the Village Sign Law have been complied with, including, but not limited to, those provided for in §115-9 of this chapter 115.
 - 4. Any previously approved local tourist-oriented directional sign shall be removed in the event that (i) it is no longer required in accordance with the New York State Supplement to the National Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices as part of the approval by the New York State Department of Transportation of a New York State tourist-oriented directional sign, or (ii)

it is no longer required because the York State tourist-oriented directional sign originally 179 180 necessitating the local tourist-oriented directional sign has been removed. In the event that the Zoning Officer determines that removal of the local tourist-oriented directional sign so required, 181 he or she shall so notify the record owner of the sign at the owner's last known address by 182 registered or certified mail, (return receipt requested), such notice to provide a period of thirty 183 (30) days from the date of such written notice for the sign to be removed. If the sign is not 184 185 removed within the required thirty (30) day period, the Zoning Officer may remove the sign and thereafter assess all costs and expenses incurred in such removal against the record owner of 186 187 such sign.

188

189

190

191

B. Building-mounted pictorial image or symbol displayed on an awning, roof-like cover or canopy of a building, the color and shade of such pictorial image or symbol having been determined by the Village Zoning Officer to require approval of the Planning Board (see definition of "Sign area" in § 115-3).

192

193

194

209

210

211

115-10 F).

1. Prior to the issuance of the required permit for such pictorial image or symbol, the proposed pictorial image or symbol must be approved by the Planning Board of the Village of Lansing.

2. Upon receipt of a completed permit application for such pictorial image or symbol, such 195 196 application shall be referred by the Zoning Officer to the Planning Board Chairperson, whereupon (i) the application shall be scheduled for review by the Planning Board within 197 198 thirty-one (31) days following the receipt of the application by the Planning Board Chairperson (or such subsequent meeting date to which the Planning Board Chairperson and 199 applicant may agree); and (ii) the applicant shall be notified by the Zoning Officer of such 200 scheduled meeting date. No public hearing shall be required. The Planning Board's 201 determination shall be made within thirty-one (31) days following the meeting at which the 202 application is reviewed by the Planning Board; provided, however, that the time within which 203 the Planning Board must render its decision may be extended by mutual consent of the 204 205 applicant and the Board. In the event that such proposed pictorial image or symbol being 206 referred to the Planning Board is governed by an approved Planned Sign Area and is determined to be an amendment to the Planned Sign Area, the Planning Board's determination in such case 207 208 shall be deemed to be a recommendation to the Board of Trustees as to such amendment (see §

- 3. No determination as to such pictorial image or symbol will be made by the Planning Board unless all of the following requirements are met:
- 212 (a) such pictorial image or symbol will not obstruct or impair vision or traffic, or in any
 213 way create a nuisance, hazard or otherwise be detrimental to or endanger the public
 214 health, safety or general welfare;

215	(b) such pictorial image or symbol will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other
216	property in the vicinity;
217	(c) such pictorial image or symbol is generally appropriate in appearance and generally in
218	harmony with the architecture and signage in the vicinity; and
219	(d) all other applicable provisions of the Village Sign Law have been complied with.
220	
221	§ 115-13
222 223	It is the intent of this chapter that the Planning Board, whenever any matter is referred to it hereunder, must act as an advisory board <i>except as provided for in § 115-7.2</i> .
224	
225 226	Tomei explained that the language was meant to allow an awning with a pictorial image of the same color to be installed, but still allow the Village to keep a certain amount of control.
227 228	Dubow noted that this would be a recommendation to the Board of Trustees who would then possibly amend the Sign Law provisions to incorporate the recommendation from the Planning Board.
229 230	Planning Board members were concerned that more than one color on an awning and a pictorial image could be considered to be not exceedingly contrasting and therefore not be deemed a sign.
231	Moseley noted that he would consult with either the Planning Board Chairman or the Planning
232 233	Board if he were to not be sure if an awning would be considered a sign. Moseley added that this would not be out of character with what he already does with other aspects of the Village Code.
234 235	Dubow noted that an awning of this nature could be located in a PSA or in a Planned Development Area (if there is a commercial component).
236	Moseley reminded the Board that there is a restaurant currently located in a residential area,
237	which would not be allowed to have the awnings as described in the above document. Moseley added that
238	there are numerous ways that the Sign Law could be amended to allow for the awnings, but this particular
239	amendment would allow for the Applebee's restaurant to install awnings with a silhouette without them
240	being considered a sign and requiring their PSA to be amended. The red apple on the awning would still
241	require a PSA amendment by the Trustees.
242	Dubow noted that once the law is in place an applicant could apply for the awnings and
243 244	potentially be required to present the application to the Planning Board and or Trustees (if a PSA is involved).
245	Pryce asked if the Planning Board was suggesting that the Trustees approve this amendment prior
246	to a request from a restaurant or developer.

Moseley indicated that this is in reaction to a request from a restaurant and at this point in time a 247 pictorial image on an awning could be considered a sign if that image represents that specific brand. 248 Kanter asked for clarification on the language on the first page of the proposed language. Kanter 249 asked for the language to be clarified a bit more. 250 251 Dubow indicated that he would look into the matter. The Planning Board decided to discuss this topic at a future meeting and even possibly at the joint 252 253 Planning Board/Board of Trustees meeting on January 31st. 254 Sumo Japanese Restaurant Cayuga Mall PSA Continued Discussion Berman introduced himself as the representative from the Cayuga Mall owners (Brixmor 255 256 Properties Group). Berman indicated that they have been working on obtaining a tenant for the old P&C 257 Food store, and after that occurs they would like to reface the entire mall and work on amending their current PSA. Berman indicated that they would like to incorporate a pylon for the mall as well, but these 258 259 items might not happen until 6 months to a year from now. Berman noted that the Sumo Japanese restaurant would like to increase their sign now, which his company supports. Berman noted that the 260 façade could change from what the restaurant is now proposing at the time that the façade of the entire 261 mall is changed. Berman noted that he would be comfortable with a maximum size of 50 square feet for 262 the sign now being proposed. 263 264 Tomei explained that they are currently allowed to have a total of 30 square feet, which is based 265 on their façade length. 266 Leopold noted that there are not many signs, in the Cayuga Mall, that are visible from Route 13, 267 but once a person is on N. Triphammer Road the signs are more visible. 268 Schleelein noted that TJ MAXX is located on one side with a large sign and Builders Best is located on the other side with a much smaller sign, and that should be something that the Board takes into 269 consideration. 270 271 Kanter asked if the exposed wire on the proposed sign could be concealed. 272 Berman noted that the wire could be concealed. 273 Moseley indicated that originally the allowed sign area for the Zayre building was 100 square feet. TJ Maxx has already used 75 SF of the allowed 100 SF, and Big Lots increased their sign SF to 274 approximately 63 SF of signage. The PSA was amended in 1994 as reflected in the minutes. 275 276 Dubow noted that the current maximum sign would be difficult to see from the main roads based 277 on the location of the proposed restaurant, which might make it difficult for an individual to determine 278 that a restaurant is occupying that particular space in the Cayuga Mall.

279 Dankert noted that he was concerned about future requests for increased signage at the Cayuga Mall and if this case would be able to be justified so that other stores did not feel that they had the right to 280 have a larger sign. 281 Dubow suggested that the developer and the mall owner look at amending the total PSA that 282 283 might benefit more than just this one particular tenant. 284 Berman indicated that is what they intend to do, but since this tenant is more immediate he would 285 like them to be allowed to have a larger sign as soon as possible and before the PSA is more extensively 286 reviewed. 287 Kanter suggested a moderate increase to the current maximum size sign be considered, but not the size that has been proposed to the Planning Board this evening. 288 289 Tomei suggested discussing this further with the Trustees at their Joint meeting on the 31st of January. Tomei also suggested that an increase to a maximum of 45 SF for the new sign might be 290 291 appropriate. Chen asked for 50 SF for the maximum sign size. 292 293 Tomei noted that they would discuss this at their next meeting. 294 **Approval of Minutes** Durst moved to accept the September 12th minutes as amended. Seconded by Stycos. Ayes: 295 Tomei, Durst, Dankert, and Stycos. Abstention by Schleelein. 296 Durst moved to accept the October 25th minutes as amended. Seconded by Stycos Ayes: Tomei, 297 298 Dankert, Stycos, Durst, and Schleelein. Durst moved to accept the November 14th minutes as amended. Seconded by Dankert Ayes: 299 Tomei, Dankert, Stycos, Durst and Schleelein. 300 301 302 **Reports** *Trustees- Please refer to the minutes for the Trustees December 19th meeting for a full report.* 303 304 305 **Other Business** 306 Leopold asked about the exterior lights on the new BJ's Wholesale building. 307 308 Moseley indicated that he had a complaint about the exterior lights on the new BJ's Wholesale 309 building. He explained that some of the wall pack light fixtures were not approved and are in need of obtaining approval prior to them being operated. Moseley added that all of the wall pack fixtures except 310 311 for two, on the north side of the building, are not allowed to be on until such a time that the Lighting 312 Commission has approved them. 313

Tomei noted that the annual New York Planning Federation Conference will be held during April

15th-17th and reminded the Board of the Joint Board of Trustees/Planning Board meeting on January 31st.

314

315

Adjournment

316

Durst moved to adjourn at 9:24 PM. Seconded by Dankert, Ayes by : Tomei, Dankert, Stycos,

318 Durst, and Schleelein.