1 Village of Lansing 2 Planning Board Meeting 3 Tuesday 4 February 26, 2019 6 The meeting of the Village of Lansing Planning Board was called to order at 7:02 PM by Chair, Lisa Schleelein. 7 8 9 Present at the meeting: Planning Board Members; Lisa Schleelein, Mike Baker, Jim McCauley, Monica 10 Moll, and Alternate Member, Anthony Ingraffea; Code Enforcement Officer, Mike Scott; Village 11 Attorney, William Troy; Village Engineer, Brent Cross; Village Trustee Liaison, Ronny Hardaway; BZA 12 Member, Roy Hogben; and additional people including; Dan Veanor with the Lansing Star; Ralph Varn 13 of Starland Builders, LLC; Crystal Fan, Yadong Wang, George Turner of Saratoga Associates; Tom Schickel of Schickel Architecture; Nick Williams of V.P.A. Development, LLC; Katrina Thaler Medeiros 15 of Thaler and Thaler; John Butler, Don Butler, Robert Butler and Michael Koplinka-Loehr. 16 17 Absent: Carolyn Greenwald 18 Schleelein appointed, Anthony Ingraffea, as an acting member for the meeting due to the absence of 19 Planning Board member, Carolyn Greenwald. 20 21 22 Public Comment Period 23 Schleelein opened the public comment period. 24 With no one wishing to speak, Baker moved to close the public comment period. Seconded by McCauley. 25 Ayes by: Schleelein, Baker, Ingraffea, McCauley, and Moll. 26 27 28 Continue Public Hearing for the Preliminary Plat Application for Subdivision #4229 proposed by 29 Starland Builders, LLC. 30 The applicants are seeking approval for a 3 parcel subdivision on 1510 East Shore Drive (Tax Parcel 31 #42.1-1-46.11) in order to build (2) residential homes with walkout basement apartments. The proposed 2 32 lots will be located on the eastern portion of the existing 11.851 acres. Lot 1 will be 6.986 acres (Existing 33 house), Lot 2 will be 2.103 acres and Lot 3 will be 2.828 acres. 34 Schleelein asked Cross and Troy if they felt there was any information missing or any open issues for this consideration as she felt the final subdivision plat requirements had been met. Cross and Troy said everything was complete. 37 38 39 Schleelein asked for the Public Hearing to be closed. 40 41 Baker moved to close the public hearing. Seconded by Ingraffea. 42 Ayes by: Schleelein, Baker, Ingraffea, McCauley, and Moll. 43 Schleelein read through the draft resolution for the final plat approval of Starland Builders, LLC major 45 subdivision #4229 adopted on February 26, 2019. 46 47 48

50	VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR FINAL PLAT						
51	APPROVAL OF STARLAND BUILDERS, LLC MAJOR SUBDIVISION #4229 ADOPTED ON FEBRUARY 26, 2019						
52							
53	Motion mad	e by: Anthony Ingraffea					
54							
55	Motion seco	nded by: Monica Moll					
56							
57	WHEREAS:						
58							
59	A.	This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Final Plat approval for a major					
60		subdivision, Starland Builders, LLC, as developer and as agent of the owners of the Tax Parcel					
61		identified herein, seeks to approve a 3-parcel subdivision on 1510 East Shore Drive (Tax Parcel #42.1-					
62		1-46.11) in order to build 2 residential homes with walkout basement apartments on the Tax Parcel					
63		which is located in a Medium Density Residential Zone. The proposed 2 lots will be located on the					
64		eastern portion of the existing 11.851 acres. Lot 1 will be 6.986 acres (existing house), Lot 2 will be					
65		2.103 acres and Lot 3 will be 2.828 acres.					
66							
67	В.	On October 30, 2018, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in accordance with Section 125-7 of the					
68		Village of Lansing Code, (i) reviewed the sketch plan submitted with respect to this proposed action;					
69		and (ii) classified the proposed subdivision as a major subdivision; and					
70							
71	C.	On February 26, 2019, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in accordance with Section 123-2 of the					
72		Village of Lansing Code, determined that the approval of the proposed major subdivision is a Type II					
73		action, and thus may be processed without further regard to Article 8 of the New York State					
74		Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR); and.					
75							
76	D.	On February 26, 2019, the Village of Lansing Planning Board thereafter thoroughly reviewed and					
77		analyzed (i) the proposed final subdivision plat and accompanying materials and information					
78		presented by and on behalf of the applicant in support of this proposed action, including information					
79		and materials related to environmental issues, if any, which the Board deemed necessary or					
80		appropriate for its review; (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board; and (iii)					
81		all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's					
82		deliberations;					
83							
84	NOW, THER	EFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:					
85							
86		1. Based upon all of its foregoing review and action, it is hereby determined by the Village of					
87		Lansing Planning Board that approval of the proposed Starland Builders, LLC Subdivision is					
88		GRANTED,					
89		2. The Chairperson of the Village of Lansing Planning Board is hereby authorized and directed to					
90		sign the Final Plat for the approved subdivision in accordance with the Village of Lansing Code.					
91							
92	The vote on	The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:					
93							
94	AYES: Lisa Sa	AYES: Lisa Schleelein, Michael Baker, Anthony Ingraffea, Jim McCauley and Monica Moll.					

95 NAYS: None

96

- 97 The motion was declared to be carried.
- 98 Schleelein told Varn that in the future if there are any changes to the plat they need to be brought back to
- 99 the Planning Board for consideration. Schleelein signed the final plat. Cross advised Varn that the plat
- 100 needed to be filed with the County and a stamped receipt returned to the Code Officer.

101 Continue Public Hearing for Special Permit #4233 Proposed by Finger Lakes Tram LLC.

- 102 The applicants are seeking approval for installing an approximately 200 ft. long Tram system which
- 103 includes a hoist drum/frame and cabin to hold up to 4 people. The Tram, located at 1510 East Shore Drive
- 104 (Tax Parcel #42.1-1-46.11), will run from the existing home down to the shore level of Cayuga Lake.

105

No one from Finger Lakes Tram LLC was present at the meeting. Yadong Wang stated that due to the snow and ice they could not proceed with the tram at this time therefore they would come to a future meeting when the weather breaks. Wang reviewed his abstract of his property and said it stated the pieces of land are subject to the right-of-way of the railroad for crossing the tracks. Troy said he is not telling them they cannot legally cross the tracks, he is just advising them the railroad company may have a different view and they can be quite aggressive. Schleelein asked about the scale drawing of the tram previously requested by Cross. Scott said he is working on the scale with Finger Lakes Tram.

113

114 Schleelein stated the public hearing would stay open.

115

Continue Public Hearing for Special Permit #4242 Proposed by Maguire Nissan of Ithaca.

- 117 The proposed project will include development of 35 Cinema Drive (Tax Parcel # 46.-1-6-5.1) which is a
- 118 4 acre commercial property consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership, and a presented new
- 119 Nissan dealership. The proposed action includes: construction of a two story, 25,235 SqFt (Gross Floor
- 120 Area) sales and service building with associated parking; public water, sewer and electrical services;
- 121 pedestrian and vehicular circulation; site lighting and signage; retaining wall; fence screening;
- 122 landscaping; and improvements to existing curb cuts along Cinema Drive and Uptown Road.

123

- 124 George Turner provided a packet of information and gave a brief update since the last meeting. He also
- 125 included an updated SEQR revising #9 on the previous form, regarding energy star products, had been
- 126 updated by the State. Turner mentioned the 150 ft. buffer and the greenery they would plant and advised
- 127 they included the recommendations from the County. He showed a diagram of what the fence would look
- 128 like and the materials they would use and stated the fence would be gray in color with post tops.
- 129 Schleelein asked about the need for ventilation in the fence. Turner said they chose that type of fence to
- 130 help eliminate the headlight glare and, in addition, they increased the height of the fence to from 8 feet to
- 131 10 feet.

- 133 Schleelein asked about onsite parking facing out onto Uptown Road and concern that car head lights
- 134 could shine onto passing vehicles. Turner talked about the customer parking. He said the lighting plan
- 135 would be discussed at the next meeting. Schleelein said the most important concern is for protecting
- 136 Gaslight Village.

137

Turner stated they updated the building plan and solar additions. He talked about the building elevations and how they included Boston Ivy to help cover the concrete block walls.

140

Turner said they moved the Maguire lettering closer to the front. Schleelein asked how tall the building to the top of the Nissan logo sign would be. Turner believes it would be approximately 31 feet and said the Nissan commercial sign would be on the NW corner of the property line. There was discussion of the total square footage of signs.

145

146 Cross asked about the western border retaining wall and what the height would be. Turner said it was 10
147 feet tall as shown in the detail sheets. Cross said a 10-foot structural wall is significant and suggested
148 they may want to submit the design. He expressed his concern with the Homewood Suites structural wall.
149 There was continued conversation regarding the height of the Maguire building, the structural wall, and
150 the existing grades. Turner said they sent a request for proposal (RFP) for the wall.

151

Turner said they reached out to Cross to discuss the SWPPP and the stormwater installation. He said they would install a cistern to wash vehicles and possibly for gray water and they would take care of any runoff. There was conversation regarding the SWPPP. Cross said it is nearly impossible to prevent 100 percent stormwater runoff but he would like to see that their best effort is implemented and talked about green provisions. Schleelein brought up the topic of redevelopment versus development and asked for clarification as to how these different designations would impact the SWPPP. There was conversation regarding development versus redevelopment, overflow parking, and stormwater and how the area to be built on was previously categorized. Turner said they treat the current gravel parking area as lawn area and it was agreed that the proposal is correctly categorized as development not redevelopment..

161

162 Schleelein read through the Short Environmental Assessment Form (EAF).

163

#2 - Schleelein asked if they have approached Bolton Point regarding water. Cross said they should to
 make sure there are no limitations and also to be consistent.

166

167 #4/5 - it was questioned if Parkland should be marked. Decided it was not applicable.

168

#9 - Ingraffea asked about the solar system and its capacity. Turner explained. Schickel said they based capacity on previous projects they have done and explained the intentions for this project. Ingraffea inquired on the kwhs. Schickel said approximately 25 kwhs. There was discussion on the kwhs. Schickel said they may do a combination of electric and gas however; the units they install would be energy efficient.

174

#13. a - Cross asked about the wetlands, the EAF answer being "no". Scott said it was the water across
 from Rte. 13, on Dart Drive. There was conversation regarding the wetlands and it was decided it is not a
 concern.

179 #14 - Moll asked if Suburban should be checked instead of Urban. It was determined that it did not 180 matter.

#17 & 18 - Baker asked Cross if he was ok with how Maguire answered that section. Cross was ok with
 it and explained his interpretation. Turner explained their process for runoff.

Schleelein read through part 2 of the EAF, 1-11. All questions were answered as "no" and agreed on as 186 "no". Part 3 Determination of Significance was determined to be not applicable.

188 Schleelein read through the SEQR resolution for Special Permit #4242.

VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR SEQR REVIEW OF SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 4242 ADOPTED ON FEBRUARY 26, 2019

192	
193	Motion made by:

196 WHEREAS:

Mic	chael Baker	 	
Мо	onica Moll	•	

194 Motion seconded by:

A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Special Permit No. 4242, is a proposal by the Maguire Nissan of Ithaca to pursue a proposed project which will include development of 35 Cinema Drive (Tax Parcel #46.-1-6-5-1) which is a 4-acre commercial property consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership, and a presented new Nissan dealership. The proposed action includes: construction of a two story, 25,235 sq. ft. (gross floor area) sales and service building with associated parking; public water, sewer and electrical services, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, site lighting and signage, retaining wall, fence screening, landscaping and improvements to existing curb cuts along Cinema Drive and Uptown Road.

B. On February 26, 2019, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in performing the lead agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"), (i) determined that the proposed action provided for herein is an Unlisted Action in accordance with SEQR; (ii) thoroughly reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the "Short EAF") Part 1, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review (including any Visual Environmental Assessment Form deemed required, and comments and recommendations, if any, provided by the Tompkins County Department of Planning in accordance with the General Municipal Law Sections 239-1 and 239-m]; (iii) completed its thorough analysis of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c); and (iv) completed the Short EAF, Part 2).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

- 222 1. The Village of Lansing Planning Board, based upon (i) its thorough review of the Short EAF, Part 1, and 223 any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its 224 environmental review [including any Visual Environmental Assessment Form deemed required, and 225 comments and recommendations, if any, provided by the Tompkins County Department of Planning in 226 accordance with General Municipal Law Sections 239-I and 239-m], and (ii) its thorough review of the 227 potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a 228 significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 229 617.7(c), and (iii) its completion of the Short EAF, Part 2, including the finings noted thereon (which 230 findings are incorporated herein as if set forth at length), hereby makes a negative determination of 231 environmental significance ("NEGATIVE DECLARATION") in accordance with SEQR for the above 232 referenced proposed action, and determines that an Environmental Impact Statement will not be required; and
- 235 2. The Responsible Officer of the Village of Lansing Planning Board is hereby authorized and directed to 236 complete and sign as required the Short EAF, Part 3, confirming the foregoing NEGATIVE 237 DECLARATION, which fully completed and signed Short EAF shall be attached to and made a part of 238 this Resolution.
- 239 The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:
- 241 AYES: Lisa Schleelein, Michael Baker, Anthony Ingraffea, Jim McCauley and Monica Moll.
- 242 NAYS: None

233

234

240

244

247

252

254

- 243 The motion was declared to be carried.
- 245 Troy asked that EAF page 5, #10 be amended to say yes and to reflect the category change of the project 246 from "Redevelopment" to "Development". He said the change could be initialed by the applicant.
- 248 There was discussion as to what material would be helpful to present to the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA) for the March 13, 2019 meeting. Schickel asked about possibly getting sign off on the final site 250 plan approval. It was stated that the BZA should review the proposal first. Turner and Schickel said they 251 would plan to be present at the next Planning Board meeting on March 11, 2019.
- 253 Schleelein moved the last item on the agenda to be discussed next.

255 Informal Subdivision Approval Extension.

- V.P.A. Development, LLC is seeking an extension on the 9 Dart Drive (Tax Parcel # 46.1-4-1) 256 subdivision to allow them to immediately file for a new tax map number from the County Assessment 258 Office. The minor subdivision was approved by the Planning Board on July 9, 2018. 259
- 260 Schleelein advised this subdivision request was presented at a previous meeting however the subdivision 261 approval had not been properly filed with the County. 262
- 263 Scott explained what the next steps would be for the approval. Williams said he would comply 264 immediately. All agreed that that would be prudent. 265
- 266 Schleelein read the resolution.

267 268 269		VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR FINAL PLAT APPROVAL OF VPA DEVELOPMENT MAJOR SUBDIVISION ADOPTED ON				
270						
271		FEBRUARY 26, 2019				
272	Motion mad	le by: Jim McCauley				
273	Motion seco	nded by: Michael Baker				
274						
275	WHEREAS:					
276	А.	This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: to grant an extension of time to				
277		VPA Development to complete all filings and other requirements for the completion of the Final Plat				
278 279		Approval of VPA Development as adopted by the Village of Lansing Planning Board on July 9, 2018; and				
280	В.	VPA Development has not yet completed all of the required actions for Final Plat Approval; and				
281	С.	The Village of Lansing Planning Board feels it is appropriate to give VPA Development an additional				
282		period of time in which to complete all requirements for Final Plat Approval, not to exceed 62 days				
283		from the date of this resolution.				
284		•				
285	NOW, THER	EFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:				
286	·	•				
287	1.	Based upon all of its foregoing review and action, it is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing				
288		Planning Board that approval of an extension of time, not to exceed 62 days from the date of this				
289		Resolution, for VPA Development to complete all of the requirements for Final Plat Approval is				
290		GRANTED.				
291						
292	The vote on	the foregoing motion was as follows:				
293		chleelein, Michael Baker, Anthony Ingraffea, Jim McCauley and Monica Moll.				
294	NAYS: None					
295						
296	The motion	was declared to be carried.				
297						
298	Informal Land Development Discussion.					
299 300	Michael Ko	oplinka-Loehr would like to receive input from the Board about a possible development of 9.35				
301	acres on Graham Road (Tax Parcel #46.1-1-6.24). He presented the Board with the following written description:					
302	description	•				
303	"Ac	ning in Place" concept for review by Village of Lansing Planning Board -				
304	2/26/19, Mike Koplinka-Loehr					
305	See	king informed recommendation regarding how to proceed, such an idea is allowable.				
306						
307		9.35 acre property at: Village of Lansing tax parcel # 46.1-1-6.24 (presently owned by Tran Hung				
308 309	Phi, vacant land, between 89 Graham Rd. and the comer house at 2 Dart Dr.) is in the Medium					
310	Dei	nsity Residential District (MDR), which is required to have 20,000 sq. ft. per lot for a single family me. Some areas areunbuildable, (stream setbacks, stream crossings from Dart Drive, small wedge				
310		the NF and tiny 2-plot cometery)				

312 313

314

315

Mike Scott, Code Enforcement Officer, suggests this would require a Cluster Development, which would increase the density, requiring an application for a PDA or a Zoning Variance. See attached tax parcel map, rudimentary sketch of "Aging in Place" housing placement ideas, and a few EAF pages on the property.

316 317 318

319

320

321

322

Vision/scenario for a future aging-in-place community:

We envision an intentional community for 8-10 handicap-accessible, energy-efficient homes, approximately 1200 sq. ft. or smaller, for individuals, couples or small families that wish to support aging-in-place. If we purchase the above parcel, we would build a road, set aside green space for walking and recreation, (hopefully connecting to the new Village of Lansing park), fully honoring the current deed restriction.

323 324 325

326

327

328

329

A community house will be the final building which would contain a basic kitchen, one room large enough to accommodate all residents for shared meals/activities/ presentations, an apartment / guest room for visiting family members, an office for a nurse or home health aide, a small greenhouse, a workshop, and storage space. Per the attached sketch, the 8-10 houses and community house will be clustered to the center of the parcel, with shared garden space in the center of the loop.

330 331 332

333

334

335

Ownership structure: At first we were thinking of a 'home-owners-association' (very difficult under NYS law,) but since then have thought about: cooperative, condominium, land trust, etc. Basically we're thinking that the land would be owned by one entity, and people would 'buy-in' with a fee to that entity for the common infrastructure investments, but then own their individual residences separate from that entity.

336 337 338

We have not begun to determine road specs that we'll need (turning radius, load carrying capacity, firetruckaccess,etc.),orutilities(probablyunderground.)

339 340 341

Thanks for your thoughts and suggestions.

342 343

345

347

Schleelein asked Koplinka-Loehr to explain his proposal. Koplinka-Loehr explained the letter he forwarded to Scott and stated the property is on Graham Road where it turns into Dart Drive and would like to consider developing "aging in place" cottages. He said the main reason for his attendance at this 346 meeting was to ask the Board for their advice and feedback, and if this proposal would be allowable in this MDR area. He said he is exploring the idea and has not put an offer on this property as he would 348 like to know the possibilities and how to proceed.

349

353

350 Cross asked if these cottages would be rentals or be privately owned. Koplinka-Loehr said the houses 351 would be owned but the land would be leased.. Cross asked if this would be equal to a subdivision. Scott 352 asked if it would be sectioned out to each house. There was discussion on NY State clustering, subdivisions, and if the driveway accesses would be privately owned. Koplinka-Loehr said roads would 354 be built to Village specs. Cross talked about the road right-of-way and what land would be left. 355 Schleelein said she encourages Koplinka-Loehr to work within the existing Village Code and for MDR available space as there are some physical constraints with the proposed site. Discussion of the size of the parcels being 20,000 sq.ft., cluster housing and subdivisions. Schleelein said duplexes could be considered under Code in MDR. Moll asked if the houses would be individually built and encouraged 359 homeownership. Koplinka-Loehr said they would be individually built by the homeowner.

360

357 358

362 Informal Lot Line Change Proposal.

The Board will review a possible lot line change proposed by the Butler family located at 1583 East shore drive. The current lot line divides 2 existing lots (Tax Parcel #42.1-1-40.1) and (Tax Parcel #42.1-1-40.2).

365

Katrina Thaler Medeiros of Thaler and Thaler, introduced herself as representing John Butler, the sole owner of 1583 East Shore Drive. She stated the members of the Butler family that have made this application for change are unauthorized as they are not the owners of the land. Don Butler stated they are trying to facilitate a family decision and accelerate the process as they have an interested buyer. Schleelein said this sounds like a family dispute and it is not the role of the Planning Board to settle this matter. Scott expressed frustration and stated this proposal was not presented to him as a subdivision. Schleelein said to come back to the Board for discussion once this matter was resolved amongst the family members.

374

375 Approval of Minutes:

376

377 February 11, 2019

378 Moll moved to accept the minutes of February 11, 2019, as amended. Seconded by Baker;

379 Ayes by; Schleelein, Baker, Ingraffea, McCauley, and Moll.

380 Nays: None:

381

382 Trustee Report:

383 None as February 18 meeting canceled due to Presidents Day.

384

385 Other Business:

Schleelein asked the Planning Board members if anyone was considering attending the New York State
Planning Federation conference held in Lake George at Bolton Landing on April 30, 2019. Baker stated
he thought that Greenwald may be considering to attend.

389

Baker advised he cannot cover as the liaison for the March 4, 2019 Board of Trustees meeting and asked if anyone could cover for him. There was no member that thought they could cover that meeting.

392

393 Adjournment:

394 Baker moved to adjourn at 8:48 PM. Seconded by Moll.

395 Ayes by: Schleelein, Baker, Ingraffea, McCauley, and Moll.

396

397 Minutes taken by: Tammy Milliman, PT Clerk