

Village of Lansing
Planning Board Meeting
Minutes of Tuesday
December 29, 2020

The meeting of the Village of Lansing Planning Board via Zoom was called to order at 7:03 PM by Chair, Lisa Schleelein.

Present at the meeting:

Planning Board Members: Mike Baker, Carolyn Greenwald, James McCauley, Monica Moll, Lisa Schleelein

Alternate Member: Anthony Ingraffea

Village Legal Counsel: William Troy

Village Engineer: Brent Cross

Village Trustee Liaison: Randy Smith

Village CEO: Michael Scott

Mayor Don Hartill, Ronny Hardaway, and Eric Goetzmann; Shawn Ritchie from Finger Lakes Tram; Ken Farrall representing the Shops at Ithaca; David and Steve Beer were present

Approval of the Minutes

Baker moved to approve the minutes of November 9, 2020 as written. Greenwald seconded.

Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll, and Schleelein.

Nays: None

December 14, 2020 minutes moved to the first January meeting

Public Comment Period:

With no one wishing to speak, Baker moved to close the public hearing. Seconded by Moll.

Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll and Schleelein.

Nays: None

Schleelein read the following from the agenda:

Continue Public Hearing of Subdivision #2020-4402

The Shops at Ithaca Mall, represented by Michael J. Gavin of Gavin Law, is seeking approval from the Planning Board for a subdivision of Tax Parcel 42.1-1-22. The applicant is proposing to subdivide tenant spaces in order to offer them for sale to existing and perspective tenants/affiliated investors/owners.

Schleelein asked all the Planning Board members if they reviewed the Mall flooding photos that Scott sent out. Scott ask Farrall if he had received them. Farrall said he had and he also reviewed them with Cross earlier in the day. Farrall also contacted the Mall personnel to investigate why this happened, whether it was a piping problem or maintenance issue. This was 2” of rain in a short period of time. The owners of the Mall are looking into the cause.

46 Schleelein feels that this flood event has sent the Village back to the original question of whether
47 this is a design problem with the stormwater infrastructure. Farrall pointed out that it was a heavy
48 rain and unfortunately the Mall seems to be at the bottom of the hill and receives a large quantity of
49 off-site runoff. The owners will try and remedy the current issues and improve the area more and
50 more as the proposed parcels sell and incorporate their new stormwater practices to work with
51 existing. Farrall was referring specifically to the new extended-stay hotel.

52

53 Greenwald was discouraged by the recent flooding and encourages the Planning Board to get the
54 answers that they need and the issues solved before moving on. Troy said part of those answers are
55 defining what is a design defect and what is a maintenance issue. Schleelein mentioned the
56 possibility of the owners hiring engineers.

57

58 Ingraffea said that these storms are the new normal and agrees that the stormwater system might not
59 be capable of handling this.

60

61 Schleelein would be more comfortable if the Planning Board received a report from the owners about
62 the cause of the latest incident along with the status of the stormwater system in total.

63

64 Cross said that this whole subdivision process and, in a way, the latest flooding incident has given
65 the Village and the mall a great opportunity to review this whole area and really find the causes of
66 some past and possibly future issues. Cross believes that whether the problems are maintenance or
67 a design flaw, the owners are ultimately the ones responsible for the remedies. Whether there is a
68 subdivision or not, this is the opportunity to make things right.

69

70 Schleelein moved on to the short EAF. Greenwald suggested that it is more prudent to delay the EAF
71 because of the existing stormwater problem. McCauley agrees. Scott said that the EAF only pertains
72 to the proposed subdivision and its effects on the environment not what is existing. Cross believes it
73 is beneficial to the Village to move the process forward. The sooner the subdivision moves forward
74 the sooner the infrastructure issues get attended to. Schleelein agrees that this EAF is about the
75 subdivision and that the concerns about the Mall stormwater is something that the Village and the
76 Mall owners will deal with as the subdivision process moves forward. Ingraffea would like to see it
77 move forward as long as there is a future plan or conditions. Baker and Schleelein also agreed.

78

79 Troy asked Farrall if he would agree to the possibility of the Planning Board reviewing the EAF in
80 the future if they were to approve the EAF now. Farrall said yes.

81

82 Schleelein reviewed Part 1 of the short EAF and had a question on #12. Farrall indicated that he
83 answered yes because the BJ's site did have an archeological find on it and that property is adjacent
84 to the Mall. Farrall will provide a letter from the Office of Preservation confirming his answer.

85

86 Schleelein reviewed Part 2. "Variances are required" will be noted in Part 3 of the EAF.

87

88 Schleelein read the following resolution:

89

90

91

92 **VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR SEQOR**

93 **REVIEW OF SUBDIVISION NO. 2020-4402 ADOPTED ON DECEMBER 29, 2020**

94 Motion made by: Monica Moll

95 Motion seconded by: Michael Baker

96 **WHEREAS:**

- 97 A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Subdivision No. 2020-
98 4402, where the Shops at Ithaca Mall, represented by Michael J. Gavin of Gavin Law, is
99 seeking approval from the Planning Board for a subdivision of Tax Parcel 42.1-1-22. The
100 applicant is proposing to subdivide tenant spaces in order to offer them for sale to existing
101 and perspective tenants/affiliated investors/owners.; and
102 B. On December 29, 2020, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in performing the lead
103 agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in
104 accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the
105 State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQOR"), (i) determined that the proposed
106 action provided for herein is an Unlisted Action in accordance with SEQOR; (ii) thoroughly
107 reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the "Short EAF"), and any and all
108 other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its
109 environmental review; (iii) completed its thorough analysis of the potential relevant areas
110 of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant
111 adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section
112 617.7(c); and (iv) completed the Short EAF); and
113

114 **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

- 115 1. The Village of Lansing Planning Board, based upon (i) its thorough review of the
116 Short EAF, Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with
117 respect to this proposed action and its environmental review; and (ii) its thorough
118 review of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the
119 proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment,
120 including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) its
121 completion of the short EAF, Part II, including the findings noted thereon (which
122 findings are incorporated herein as if set forth at length), hereby makes a negative
123 determination of environmental significance ("**NEGATIVE DECLARATION**") in
124 accordance with SEQOR for the above referenced proposed action, and determines
125 that an Environmental Impact Statement will not be required; and
126 2. The Responsible Officer of the Village of Lansing Planning Board is hereby
127 authorized and directed to complete and sign as required the Short EAF, Part III

128 *confirming the foregoing **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**, which fully completed*
129 *and signed Short EAF shall be attached to and made a part of this Resolution.*

130 *The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:*

131 *AYES: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll, and Schleelein.*

132 *NAYS: None*

133 *The motion was declared to be carried*

134 Schleelein reviewed Part 3 of the EAF

135 The public hearing will remain open.

136

137 Schleelein read the following from the agenda:

138

139 **Continue Public Hearing for Special Permit #2020-4438**

140 *Drew and Rachel Riedl, represented by Shawn Richie and Joshua Stafford from Finger Lakes*

141 *Tram, are requesting a special permit to allow a tram/lift to be installed on the cliff overlooking*

142 *Cayuga Lake at 12 Esty Drive (Tax Parcel # 43.1-1-7).*

143 Scott showed the Planning Board the GML-239 County recommendation of requiring the

144 proposed tram be painted in colors that blend in with the landscape. Ritchie said their tram color

145 is bronze and generally blends in with most natural surroundings. All of the trams that Finger

146 Lakes Tram has installed at Cayuga Lake have been the same color.

147

148 Schleelein reviewed the Short EAF provided and questioned #2. The provided EAF said no but the

149 answer should be yes, The Village of Lansing Code Department. Also, #5 need to have “aquatic”

150 checked. Finally, #14 needs to have “forest” checked. Ritchie will update the EAF and send it to

151 Scott.

152

153 Schleelein reviewed Part 2.

154

155 Schleelein read the following resolution:

156

157 ***VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR SEQR***

158 ***REVIEW OF SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 2020-4438 ADOPTED ON DECEMBER 29, 2020***

159 *Motion made by: Michael Baker*

160 *Motion seconded by: Monica Moll*

161 ***WHEREAS:***

162 A. *This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Special Permit No.*

163 *2020-4438, for the proposal by Drew and Rachel Riedl, represented by Shawn Richie and*

164 *Joshua Stafford from Finger Lakes Tram, where they are requesting a special permit to*
165 *allow a tram/lift to be installed on the cliff overlooking Cayuga Lake at 12 Esty Drive (Tax*
166 *Parcel # 43.1-1-7). As per Village Code Section 145-48, the applicant is required to apply*
167 *for a Special Permit because the lot is within 50 feet of a designated steep slope area; and*
168

169 *B. On December 29, 2020, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in performing the lead*
170 *agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in*
171 *accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the*
172 *State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"), (i) determined that the proposed*
173 *action provided for herein is an Unlisted Action in accordance with SEQR; (ii) thoroughly*
174 *reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the "Short EAF"), and any and all*
175 *other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its*
176 *environmental review; (iii) completed its thorough analysis of the potential relevant areas*
177 *of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant*
178 *adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section*
179 *617.7(c); and (iv) completed the Short EAF); and*

180

181 ***NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:***

182 *1. The Village of Lansing Planning Board, based upon (i) its thorough review of the*
183 *Short EAF, Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with*
184 *respect to this proposed action and its environmental review; and (ii) its thorough*
185 *review of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the*
186 *proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment,*
187 *including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) its*
188 *completion of the short EAF, Part II, including the findings noted thereon (which*
189 *findings are incorporated herein as if set forth at length), hereby makes a negative*
190 *determination of environmental significance ("NEGATIVE DECLARATION") in*
191 *accordance with SEQR for the above referenced proposed action, and determines*
192 *that an Environmental Impact Statement will not be required; and*

193 *2. The Responsible Officer of the Village of Lansing Planning Board is hereby*
194 *authorized and directed to complete and sign as required the Short EAF, Part III*
195 *confirming the foregoing NEGATIVE DECLARATION, which fully completed*
196 *and signed Short EAF shall be attached to and made a part of this Resolution.*

197 *The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:*

198 *AYES: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll, and Schleelein.*

199 *NAYS: None*

200 *The motion was declared to be carried*

201 Schleelein reviewed Part 3 of the Short EAF

202

203 Baker moved to close the public hearing. McCauley seconded.

204 Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll and Schleelein.

205 Nays: None

206

207 Schleelein read the special permit general conditions.

208 Moll moved that all of the general conditions have been met. Baker seconded.

209 Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll and Schleelein.

210 Nays: None

211

212 Schleelein read the following resolution:

213

214

215 **VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR
SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 2020-4438 ADOPTED ON DECEMBER 29, 2020**

216 *Motion made by:* Monica Moll

217 *Motion seconded by:* Michael Baker

218 **WHEREAS:**

219 A. *This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Special Permit*
220 *No. 2020-4438, for the proposal by Drew and Rachel Riedl, represented by Shawn*
221 *Richie and Joshua Stafford from Finger Lakes Tram, where they are requesting a*
222 *special permit to allow a tram/lift to be installed on the cliff overlooking Cayuga Lake*
223 *at 12 Esty Drive (Tax Parcel # 43.1-1-7). As per Village Code Section 145-48, the*
224 *applicant is required to apply for a Special Permit because the lot is within 50 feet of*
225 *a designated steep slope area; and*
226

227 B. *On December 14, 2020 and December 29, 2020, the Village of Lansing Planning Board*
228 *opened and continued a public hearing regarding this proposed action, and therein*
229 *thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the materials and information presented by and*
230 *on behalf of the applicant in support of this proposed action, including information and*
231 *materials related to the environmental issues, if any, which the Board deemed*
232 *necessary or appropriate for its review, (ii) all other information and materials*
233 *rightfully before the Board (including, if applicable, comments and recommendations,*
234 *if any, provided by the Tompkins County Department of Planning in accordance with*
235 *General Municipal Law Sections 239-1 and 239-m), and (iii) all issues raised during*
236 *the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's deliberations;*
237 *and*

238

239 C. On December 29, 2020, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in accordance with (i)
240 Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State
241 Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"), and 6 NYCRR Section 617.5; and (ii)
242 Section 123.2 of the Village of Lansing Code, and amended on environmental review
243 and adopted a Resolution for SEQR Review in connection with Special Permit No.
244 2020-4438;

245 **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

246 1. The Village of Lansing Planning Board hereby finds (subject to the conditions and
247 requirements, if any, set forth below and the provisions provided for in paragraph "B"
248 above) that the proposed action meets (i) all general conditions required for all special
249 permits (Village of Lansing Code Section 145-59E); (ii) any applicable conditions
250 required for certain special permit uses (Village of Lansing Code Section 145-60); and
251 (iii) any applicable conditions required for uses within a Combining District (Village
252 of Lansing Code Section 14561); and

253 2. It is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Planning Board that Special Permit
254 No. 2020-4438 is **GRANTED AND APPROVED**, subject to the following conditions
255 and requirements:

256 a. Soil and Erosion control measures and water quality techniques shall be
257 implemented and coordinated as required and approved by the Village of Lansing
258 Code Enforcement Officer and/or the Village of Lansing Engineer, and a plan for
259 maintenance and said control measures and quality techniques over time shall be
260 established with the Village of Lansing Code Enforcement Officer and/or the
261 Village of Lansing Engineer.

262 b. Prior to a building permit being issued, approval by the Village of Lansing
263 Engineer and Village of Lansing Storm Water Officer of, but not limited to, site
264 work, storm water management and infrastructure plans, and implementation
265 thereof. Drainage easements for potential impact from the stormwater
266 management facilities on neighboring parcels shall be obtained, provided to the
267 Village for approval by the Village Engineer, Stormwater Officer and Attorney, and
268 thereafter recorded at the Tompkins County Clerk's Office.

269 c. Required permits, approvals, consents and other authorizations from all applicable
270 Federal, State, County and local governmental and regulatory agencies shall be
271 obtained, maintained and complied with for all permitted improvements,
272 operations and activities as authorized by this special permit approval, and such
273 improvements, operations and activities shall at all times comply with all
274 applicable Federal, State, County and local laws, codes, rules and regulations.

275 d. The color of the tram equipment will be black, brown, or similar.

276

277 The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

278 *AYES: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll and Schleelein.*

279 *NAYS: None*

280 *The motion was declared to be carried*

281 Schleelein read the following from the agenda:

282 **Continue Public Hearing for Special Permit # 2020-4439**

283 *David Beer, from Beer Properties, LLC, is requesting a special permit to allow for a private*
284 *garage to be built on a lot (Tax Parcel # 45.2-1-47.201) located between Millcroft Way and Craft*
285 *Road.*

286 Steven Beer read the following:

287

288 “As most of you know, we're responsible for Lansing Village cottages development, that project
289 requires a maintenance area to keep the rental residences in great shape year around. The proposed
290 maintenance area will be just that it will not be confused with the cottages. It will be constructed
291 quickly and economically and will be well shielded from the cottages by existing vegetation and
292 new evergreens to be planted. Utilizing the previously used quality low-cost Quonset building will
293 be environmentally sound. This will allow the savings to enhance the quality of the cottages. Reusing
294 the used Quonset building for a needed purpose is environmentally sound and it was praised by the
295 Finger Lakes Reuse executive director. This is much better than sending steel scrap to the trash heap.
296 The Quonset building will be situated in a tiny portion of the entire Lansing village cottage
297 development. The Lansing Village Code does not forbid the construction of a private garage or a
298 maintenance facility, nor does it state specific construction materials that are preferred or those that
299 are forbidden. The proposed building will comply with the distance regulations in the New York
300 State Building code. It was designed by licensed engineers as was suggested at the last planning
301 board meeting, we might consider the maintenance facility as a quote, temporary commercial
302 building unquote. Recall that the existing maintenance facility was dismantled easily by two
303 teenagers and their dad in a weekend. If serious objections are raised about the Quonset building, it
304 could be removed in another weekend. So that sort of summarizes quickly why we think it would be
305 worthwhile to approve our special permit application. Thank you for your consideration.”

306

307 Schleelein asked David Beer about the projected cluster subdivision start date. Beer said that there
308 is no firm start date but, Beer Properties will be coming back to the Planning Board in January or
309 February to continue the subdivision process. Beer does not see this subdivision build-out completed
310 as rapidly as the Bomax project (East Pointe) but, quicker than the long running Lansing Trails
311 project. Nothing is firm but, Beer could see the road system being started this coming year.
312 Schleelein ask why this proposed building needs to be installed so quickly. Beer said he needs to
313 maintain the property.

314

315 Schleelein reviewed the Short EAF provided and was not convinced that #6 was correct, that the
316 proposed action is consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural
317 landscaping.

318

319 Schleelein reviewed Part 2 and was concerned about #3; Will the proposed action impair the
320 character or quality of the existing community? Baker feels the same but, still believes that it is a
321 small impact.

322

323 Schleelein read the following resolution:

324

325 **VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR SEQOR**

326 **REVIEW OF SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 2020-4439 ADOPTED ON DECEMBER 29, 2020**

327 *Motion made by: Monica Moll*

328 *Motion seconded by: Carolyn Greenwald*

329 **WHEREAS:**

330 A. *This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Special Permit No.*
331 *2020-4439, for the proposal by David Beer, from Beer Properties, LLC, requesting a*
332 *special permit to allow for a private garage to be built on a lot (Tax Parcel # 45.2-1-*
333 *47.201) located between Millcroft Way and Craft Road. As per Village Code Section 145-*
334 *40D(1)e, Alteration to building or improved site or change in use that results in change in*
335 *applicable parking space requirements, the applicant is required to apply for a Special*
336 *Permit; and*

337 B. *On December 29, 2020, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in performing the lead*
338 *agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in*
339 *accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the*
340 *State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQOR"), (i) determined that the proposed*
341 *action provided for herein is an Unlisted Action in accordance with SEQOR; (ii) thoroughly*
342 *reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the "Short EAF"), and any and all*
343 *other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its*
344 *environmental review; (iii) completed its thorough analysis of the potential relevant areas*
345 *of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant*
346 *adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section*
347 *617.7(c); and (iv) completed the Short EAF); and*

348

349 **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

350 1. *The Village of Lansing Planning Board, based upon (i) its thorough review of the*
351 *Short EAF, Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with*
352 *respect to this proposed action and its environmental review; and (ii) its thorough*
353 *review of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the*
354 *proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including*
355 *the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) its completion of the short*
356 *EAF, Part II, including the findings noted thereon (which findings are incorporated*
357 *herein as if set forth at length), hereby makes a negative determination of*

358 *environmental significance (“NEGATIVE DECLARATION”) in accordance with*
359 *SEQR for the above referenced proposed action, and determines that an Environmental*
360 *Impact Statement will not be required; and*

361 2. *The Responsible Officer of the Village of Lansing Planning Board is hereby authorized*
362 *and directed to complete and sign as required the Short EAF, Part III confirming the*
363 *foregoing NEGATIVE DECLARATION, which fully completed and signed Short EAF*
364 *shall be attached to and made a part of this Resolution.*

365 *The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:*

366 *AYES: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll and Schleelein.*

367 *NAYS: None*

368 *The motion was declared to be carried*

369 Baker moved to close the public hearing. Moll seconded.

370 Ayes: Baker, McCauley, Moll and Schleelein.

371 Nays: None

372 Absent: Greenwald

373

374 Schleelein read the special permit general conditions. After Schleelein read Condition #3: *It will not*
375 *impede the orderly development of the vicinity or neighborhood and is appropriate in appearance*
376 *and in harmony with the existing or intended character of the vicinity or neighborhood*, Baker
377 reiterated that the proposed building does not fit in with the surrounding neighborhood. Baker is not
378 denying the need for a maintenance structure but, the proposed Quonset style building is out of place.
379 McCauley agreed the proposed building does not appear in harmony with the neighborhood.
380 McCauley also has an issue with Condition #7 about the architecture being “compatible with the
381 character of the neighborhood”. Baker and Schleelein agreed.

382

383 Schleelein finished the general condition and said that under non-special permit circumstances the
384 Planning Board cannot dictate the aesthetics of a building. However, in a situation where a special
385 permit is required, the ten general conditions of 145-59E of the Village Code must be met; if all of
386 the general conditions are not met, then the special permit cannot be issued. There was discussion
387 about the general conditions not being met. Greenwald suggested that possibly some landscaping
388 would help hide the proposed building. Moll believes that the building won’t be seen by the
389 neighbors because of the existing trees. Baker said that during the winter months, the tree lines and
390 the tall hedgerow along Janivar thin out and landscaping would struggle to hide a 15’ tall building.
391 McCauley said that places like Lansing West have maintenance buildings but they are similar
392 looking to the apartments built next to them. Baker said that the Bomax complex has the maintenance
393 within the Community Building and is not seen.

394

395 Beer said that the building is not that big and it is an agricultural style building in the middle of a
396 field. Schleelein agreed that it is indeed an agricultural style building but it is located in a Medium
397 Density Residential District and is not in keeping with the intended character of the area. Beer is
398 willing to develop a landscaping plan with suggestions from the Planning Board to help relieve the

399 concerns. Baker does not want this to become the same situation as the original PDA process that
400 the Beers went through some time ago where the applicant returns to the Planning Board several
401 times with different suggestions only to have the whole process be drawn out and the applicant waste
402 money. Moll suggested painting it. Greenwald suggested locating the building in a more secluded
403 spot. Beer said the building is positioned so as to be used for a greeting center during future
404 development.

405

406 Ingraffea had concerns about approving a style of building that will blend in with the surrounding
407 when the surroundings have not been determined yet. Ingraffea said he would hope that this proposed
408 building is not an indication of the future surroundings. The Planning Board decided to table the
409 discussion until the next meeting so the applicant can bring back possibilities that may remedy the
410 concerns of some of the Planning Board members.

411

412 Schleelein read the following from the agenda:

413

414 **Informal Discussion about Lansing Meadows Amended Special Permit #2019-4123**

415 *Eric Goetzmann, from Arrowhead Ventures, LLC, will be updating the Planning Board on the*
416 *progress of the residential area B of the Lansing Meadows Planned Development Area (PDA) located*
417 *on Oakcrest Road (Tax Parcel Nos. 47.1-1-17.2 and 47.1-1-17.6).*

418 Scott brought the proposed changes to the Amended Special Permit up on the screen. Scott also
419 explained how he is proposing to provide addresses for the buildings. Schleelein reviewed the
420 resolution and possible changes. There was discussion about the wording of the changes.

421 Scott will make the changes for the next meeting. Troy will check to see if the voting of the changes
422 needs to be done with a public hearing.

423

424 **Other Business to Report**

425 Greenwald is the liaison for the January Board of Trustee Meetings. Scott informed the Planning
426 Board on upcoming events

427

428 **Trustee Report**

429 No Report

430

431 **Adjournment:**

432 Schleelein asked for a motion to adjourn at 9:41 PM. Moved by Baker. Seconded by McCauley.

433 Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll, and Schleelein.

434 Nays: None

435 Minutes taken by: Michael Scott, CEO

436

437