1	
2	Village of Lansing
3	Planning Board Meeting
4	Minutes of Tuesday,
5	February 25, 2025
6	
7	
8	The meeting of the Village of Lansing Planning Board meeting was called to order at 7:03 PM. A
9	Zoom option was also provided.
0	
1	Present at the meeting:
L2	Planning Board Members: Mike Baker, Lorraine Capogrossi, Gillespie and Lisa Schleelein.
L3	Absent Members: Jim McCauley (on line but not voting)
L4	Alternate Member: Yamila Fournier (arrived at 7:34)
L5	Village Legal Counsel: Peter Grossman
L 6	Village Engineer: Absent
L7	Village Trustee Liaison: Susan Ainslie (Zoom)
18	Village CEO: Michael Scott
L9	
20	Public included: Michael Wallisch, Kathleen Yen, Carla Marceau (Zoom) and Jodi Dake
21	
22	Approval of the Minutes
23	Capogrossi moved to approve the February 10, 2024 minutes. Seconded by Schleelein.
24	Ayes: Baker, Capogrossi, Fournier and Schleelein
25	Nays: None
26	Abstain: Gillespie
27	
28	Public Comment Period
29	Susan Ainslie as Trustee liaison. Carla Marceau representing the Community Party.
30	
31	Gillespie moved to close the Public Comment period. Seconded by Schleelein.
32	Ayes: Baker, Capogrossi, Fournier and Schleelein
33	Nays: None
34	
35	E-Codes Discussion
36	The Planning Board discussed the information presented at the February 10 th Planning Board
37	meeting regarding E-Codes.
88	
39	Baker asked Planning Board members their opinion recommending E-Codes to the Board or
10	Trustees.
. •	
1	Capogrossi and Gillespie are in favor of the program.
12	Schleelein also likes the program but stated that many of the changes need to done in-house first

43 It is difficult to search for a subject with the system the Village has now and the new program

- 44 provides the ability to search other Municipality's Codes. Schleelein continued with concerns that
- 45 the Village staff doesn't have the time to upgrade our existing Code.
- 46 Grossman stated that other Municipalities have adopted this program and the use has benefited the
- 47 constituents.
- 48 Gillespie stated that, although the cost is high, the program would be beneficial to all. There needs
- 49 to be inquiries into other municipalities and how the program has performed for them.
- 50 McCauley stated similar concerns and benefits as the other members.
- 51 Baker was impressed by the platform that the program has and agreed that many of the changes
- 52 needed to the existing Code could be done in-house.

53

- 54 Scott questions whether the cost is worth it. The Village only averages 5-6 Code changes a year and
- 55 the entire Codification is relatively small.

56

57 Some members are skeptical as to whether Code updates can be made in-house.

58

At the last meeting, the E-Code presenter could not give the Planning Board a cost estimate for adding a Code change. Capogrossi suggested asking other Municipalities what it cost them.

61

- 62 Dake stated that the Village had this program before where they reviewed and organized the existing
- 63 Code. After that, the Village handled changes in-house.

64

65 Dake continued to inform the Planning Board of how the process of Code changes currently works.

66

67 It was noted that the Code online is currently not accurate.

68

69 Schleelein feels that the maintenance of the Code is the hardest task and at some point, the Village vill need to hire a professional to help.

71

72 Grossman will reach out to other entities that he works with for opinions on the E-Code.

73

- 74 Baker will draft a letter to the Board of Trustees stating the benefits and concerns that the Planning
- 75 Board has discussed including the possibility of using E-Codes after some in-house corrections have
- 76 been made

77

78 Fournier arrived and Baker appointed her a voting member.

79

- 80 Baker reviewed what Fournier missed and Fournier stated that the Village Code is too small to justify 81 the cost of the program. It could be possible in the future that an outside resource may be needed
- 82 but, that's not the case now.

83

84

{H4713145.1} 2

85	Other Business
86	Tree Law discussion.
87	
88	Schleelein and Capogrossi created a draft with current changes to the Tree Law and the Planning
89	Board reviewed the updates.
90	
91	New Topics included:
92	
93	1) Clear cutting
94	2) Definitions
95	3) Appendix H
96	4) Planting steps and maintenance
97	5) Recommended species of trees and invasive list
98	6) pH Scale
99	
100	Code Changes:
101	
102	Scott reviewed some possible changes/inaccuracies in the Code. Scott will work on The Chart of
103	Uses.
104	
105	Baker will not be in town for the April 14 th Planning Board meeting.
106	
107	Trustee Report
108	No Trustee meeting to report on
109	
110	Adjournment
111	Fournier moved to adjourn at 8:41. Seconded by Schleelein.
112	Ayes: Baker, Capogrossi, Fournier, Gillespie and Schleelein
113	Nays: None
114	M. A. A. A. M. I. 10, W. OFO.
115	Minutes taken by: Michael Scott, CEO

{H4713145.1} 3