

Village of Lansing

MINUTES of a meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Lansing held on Monday, May 16, 2011, in the Village Office.

Present: Mayor Donald Hartill; Trustees John O'Neill, Julie Baker, Lynn Leopold and Patricia O'Rourke; Attorney David Dubow; Clerk/Treasurer, Jodi Dake; Planning Board Member, Maria Stycos.

Mayor Hartill called the meeting to order at 7:33 pm and opened the public comment period. Sorel Gottfried stated that she was there representing the Community Party.

Motion-To Close the Public Comment Period

Trustee O'Neill moved to close the public comment period. Trustee Baker seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

|                          |                               |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye | Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye |
| Trustee John O'Neill-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye       |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye |                               |

First on the agenda was a Public Hearing on Proposed Local Law D, the newly proposed Illicit Discharge local law.

Motion-To Open the Public Hearing for Proposed Local Law D, Amendment to Village of Lansing Code-Addition of Chapter 80 (Entitled "Village of Lansing Illicit Discharges, Activities and Connections to Separate Storm Sewer System Law")

Trustee Leopold moved to open the public hearing. Trustee Baker seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

|                          |                               |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye | Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye |
| Trustee John O'Neill-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye       |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye |                               |

Mayor Hartill stated that it was appropriate for Lynn Leopold to explain this law since she worked so hard on it. Lynn explained that this is a NYSDEC requirement which includes fines and consequences. Dubow stated that fines are there mainly as a deterrent. Lynn hopes that residents along with our Public Works staff will report any issues they find. Sorel Gottfried reported that over the years she has caught her painters disposing of paint in an inappropriate manner. Lynn will do a best practices article for the Village's fall newsletter. Jodi stated that if she wanted to write something up before that she would post it on the Village of Lansing webpage.

No one from the public spoke.

Motion-To Close the Public Hearing for Proposed Local Law D

Trustee Leopold moved to close the public hearing. Trustee O'Rourke seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

|                          |                               |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye | Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye |
| Trustee John O'Neill-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye       |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye |                               |

Next on the agenda was a Public Hearing to consider Proposed Local Law E (2011), Amendment to Village of Lansing Code-Zoning Law-Employee Cafeteria and Low Traffic Food and Beverage Uses in Business and Technology District.

Motion-To Open the Public Hearing for Proposed Local Law E (2011)

Trustee O'Neill moved to open the public hearing. Trustee Baker seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

|                          |                               |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye | Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye |
| Trustee John O'Neill-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye       |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye |                               |

Mayor Hartill explained that this proposed law is a response to the Park café Issue with signage. The Board previously approved the Park Café to keep 3 of their way finding signs until this matter was resolved. Dubow added that the sign issue prompted a review by the Planning Board, at the direction of the Board of Trustees, of the whole section of the Village Code involving the low traffic food and beverage use in the Business and Technology District, and that review resulted in the Planning Board recommending more liberalized signs and other restrictions. David feels this is a work in progress in terms of the revisions made and possible further revisions if deemed appropriate. Julie Baker asked for clarity on the number of signs allowed by special permit within the Business and Technology District.

No one from the public spoke.

Motion-To Close the Public Hearing for Proposed Local Law E (2011)

Trustee O'Neill moved to close the public hearing. Trustee Leopold seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

|                          |                               |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye | Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye |
| Trustee John O'Neill-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye       |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye |                               |

The Board then completed the SEQR Short EAF environmental review for Proposed Local Law D and found that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts. The Mayor entertained the following motion:

RESOLUTION#5732-SEQR Review for Proposed Local Law D

**WHEREAS:**

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Adoption of Proposed Local Law D (2011), to be designated Local Law 4 (2011) upon its adoption, to amend the Village of Lansing Code so as to add the new Chapter 80 entitled “Village of Lansing Illicit Discharges, Activities and Connections to Separate Storm Sewer System Law,” which new Chapter 80 is generally intended to provide for the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the Village of Lansing through the regulation of non-stormwater discharges to the municipal separate storm sewer system (hereinafter “MS4”) to the maximum extent practicable as required by federal and state law. This chapter establishes methods for controlling the introduction of pollutants into the MS4 in order to comply with requirements of the SPDES General Permit for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems; and
- B. This proposed action is an Unlisted Action for which the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees is an involved agency for the purposes of environmental review; and
- C. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees, in performing the lead agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQR”), (i) thoroughly reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the “Short EAF”), Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) thoroughly analyzed the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) completed the Short EAF, Part II;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. The Village of Lansing Board of Trustees, based upon (i) its thorough review of the Short EAF, Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) its thorough review of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) its completion of the Short EAF, Part II, including the findings noted thereon (which findings are incorporated herein as if set

forth at length), hereby makes a negative determination of environmental significance (“**NEGATIVE DECLARATION**”) in accordance with SEQR for the above referenced proposed action, and determines that neither a Full Environmental Assessment Form, nor an Environmental Impact Statement will be required; and:

2. The Responsible Officer of the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees is hereby authorized and directed to complete and sign as required the Short EAF, Part III, confirming the foregoing **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**, which fully completed and signed Short EAF shall be attached to and made a part of this Resolution.

Trustee Leopold moved this resolution. Trustee O’Rourke seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye  
Trustee John O’Neill-Aye  
Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye

Trustee Patricia O’Rourke-Aye  
Trustee Julie Baker-Aye

Resolution #5733-To Adopt Proposed Local Law D as Local Law 4 (2011)

**WHEREAS:**

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Adoption of Proposed Local Law D (2011), to be designated Local Law 4 (2011) upon its adoption, to amend the Village of Lansing Code so as to add the new Chapter 80 entitled “Village of Lansing Illicit Discharges, Activities and Connections to Separate Storm Sewer System Law,” which new Chapter 80 is generally intended to provide for the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the Village of Lansing through the regulation of non-stormwater discharges to the municipal separate storm sewer system (hereinafter “MS4”) to the maximum extent practicable as required by federal and state law. This chapter establishes methods for controlling the introduction of pollutants into the MS4 in order to comply with requirements of the SPDES General Permit for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems; and
- B. On May 2, 2011, and after discussion and review at several meetings prior thereto, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees further discussed the purposes and intent of Proposed Local Law D (2011), and thereupon scheduled a public hearing thereon for May 16, 2011; and
- C. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees held a public hearing regarding this proposed action, and thereafter discussed and reviewed (i) Proposed Local Law D (2011), (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board’s deliberations; and

- D. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees determined that the proposed action is an Unlisted Action for which the Board is an involved agency, and thereupon, in performing the lead agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQR”), the Board (i) thoroughly reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the “Short EAF”), Part 1, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) thoroughly analyzed the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), (iii) completed the Short EAF, Part 2; and (iv) made a negative determination of environmental significance (“Negative Declaration”) in accordance with SEQR for the above referenced proposed action and determined that an Environmental Impact Statement would not be required; and
- E. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees completed its review of (i) Proposed Local Law D (2011), (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board’s deliberations;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

The Village of Lansing Board of Trustees hereby adopts the attached Proposed Local Law D (2011), to be designated Local Law 4 (2011).

Trustee Leopold moved this resolution. Trustee O’Neill seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

|                          |                               |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye | Trustee Patricia O’Rourke-Aye |
| Trustee John O’Neill-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye       |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye |                               |

David Dubow added that the Tompkins County Planning Department also completed a General Municipal Law Section 239 Review and determined that the proposed action has no negative intercommunity or county-wide impacts. The following is Local Law 4:

LOCAL LAW 4 (2011)

AMENDMENT TO VILLAGE OF LANSING CODE – ADDITION OF CHAPTER 80 (ENTITLED “VILLAGE OF LANSING ILLICIT DISCHARGES, ACTIVITIES AND CONNECTIONS TO SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM LAW”)

Be it enacted by the Board of Trustees of the Village of Lansing as follows:

SECTION I. PURPOSE & INTENT.

It is the purpose and intent of this local law is to amend the Village of Lansing Code so as to add the new Chapter 80 entitled “Village of Lansing Illicit Discharges, Activities and Connections to Separate Storm Sewer System Law,” which new Chapter 80 is generally intended to provide for the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the Village of Lansing through the regulation of non-stormwater discharges to the municipal separate storm sewer system (hereinafter “MS4”) to the maximum extent practicable as required by federal and state law. This chapter establishes methods for controlling the introduction of pollutants into the MS4 in order to comply with requirements of the SPDES General Permit for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems. More specifically, the purpose and intent of this local law is:

- A. To meet the requirements of the SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from MS4s, Permit no. GP-0-08-002 or as amended or revised;
- B. To regulate the introduction of pollutants to the MS4 since such systems are not designed to accept, process or discharge non-stormwater wastes;
- C. To prohibit illicit connections, activities and discharges to the MS4 and surface waters;
- D. To establish legal authority to carry out all inspection, surveillance and monitoring procedures necessary to ensure compliance with this chapter; and
- E. To promote public awareness of the hazards involved in the improper discharge of trash, yard waste, lawn chemicals, pet waste, wastewater, grease, oil, petroleum products, cleaning products, paint products, hazardous waste, sediment and other pollutants into the MS4 and surface waters.

SECTION II. AMENDMENT TO THE VILLAGE OF LANSING CODE TO ADD NEW CHAPTER 80 ENTITLED “VILLAGE OF LANSING ILLICIT DISCHARGES, ACTIVITIES AND CONNECTIONS TO SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM LAW.”

- A. The Village of Lansing Code is hereby amended so as to add the new Chapter 80 entitled “Village of Lansing Illicit Discharges, Activities and Connections to Separate Storm Sewer System Law” reading in its entirety as follows:

Chapter 80

Illicit Discharges, Activities and  
Connections to Separate Storm Sewer  
System Law

ARTICLE I  
General Provisions

- § 80-1 Title.
- § 80-2 Purpose and Intent.
- § 80-3 Definitions.
- § 80-4 Applicability.
- § 80-5 Responsibility for Administration.
- § 80-6 Severability.

ARTICLE II  
Illicit Discharge Controls and Prohibitions

- § 80-7 Discharges, Connections, Prohibitions and Exemptions.
- § 80-8 Prohibition Against Failing Individual Sewage Treatment Systems.
- § 80-9 Prohibition Against Activities Contaminating Stormwater.

- § 80-10 Requirement to Prevent, Control and Reduce Stormwater Pollutants by the Use of Best Management Practices.
- § 80-11 Suspension of Access to MS4; Illicit Discharges in Emergency Situations.
- § 80-12 Industrial or Construction Activity Discharges.
- § 80-13 Access and Monitoring of Discharges.

**ARTICLE III**  
**Administration and Enforcement**

- § 80-14 Notification of Spills.
- § 80-15 Enforcement.
- § 80-16 Alternative Remedies.

[HISTORY: Adopted by the Board of Trustees of the Village of Lansing 4-\_\_-2011 as L.L. No. 4-2011. Subsequent amendments noted where applicable.]

**ARTICLE I**  
**General Provisions**

- § 80-1 Title.

This chapter may be referred to and cited as the “Village of Lansing Illicit Discharges, Activities and Connections to Separate Storm Sewer System Law.”

- § 80-2 Purpose and Intent.

The purpose of this chapter is to provide for the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the Village of Lansing through the regulation of non-stormwater discharges to the municipal separate storm sewer system (hereinafter “MS4”) to the maximum extent practicable as required by federal and state law. This chapter establishes methods for controlling the introduction of pollutants into the MS4 in order to comply with requirements of the SPDES General Permit for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems. The intent of this chapter is:

- A. To meet the requirements of the SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from MS4s, Permit no. GP-0-08-002 or as amended or revised;
- B. To regulate the introduction of pollutants to the MS4 since such systems are not designed to accept, process or discharge non-stormwater wastes;
- C. To prohibit Illicit Connections, Activities and Discharges to the MS4 and surface waters;
- D. To establish legal authority to carry out all inspection, surveillance and monitoring procedures necessary to ensure compliance with this chapter; and
- E. To promote public awareness of the hazards involved in the improper discharge of trash, yard waste, lawn chemicals, pet waste, wastewater, grease, oil, petroleum products, cleaning products, paint products, hazardous waste, sediment and other pollutants into the MS4 and surface waters.

§ 80-3 Definitions.

Whenever used in this chapter, unless a different meaning is stated in a definition applicable to only a portion of this chapter, the following terms will have the meanings set forth below:

**Best Management Practices (BMPs).** Schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, general good house keeping practices, pollution prevention and educational practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants directly or indirectly to stormwater, receiving waters, or stormwater conveyance systems. BMPs also include treatment practices, operating procedures, and practices to control site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or water disposal, or drainage from raw materials storage.

**Clean Water Act.** The Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.), and any subsequent amendments thereto.

**Construction Activity.** Activities requiring authorization under the SPDES Permit for stormwater discharges from construction activity, GP-0-08-002, as amended or revised, and the Stormwater Management, Erosion and Sediment Control Law, Chapter 124 of the Village of Lansing Code. These activities include, but are not limited to, construction projects resulting in land disturbance of one or more acres. Such activities include, but are not limited to, clearing and grubbing, grading, excavating, and demolition.

**Department.** The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.

**Design professional.** New York State licensed professional engineer or architect.

**Hazardous Materials.** Any material, including any substance, waste, or combination thereof, which because of its quantity, concentration, or physical, chemical, or infectious characteristics may cause, or significantly contribute to, a substantial present or potential hazard to human health, safety, property, or the environment when improperly treated, stored, transported, disposed of, or otherwise managed.

**Illicit Connections.** Any drain or conveyance, whether on the surface or subsurface, which allows an illegal discharge to enter the MS4, including but not limited to:

- A. Conveyances which allow any non-stormwater discharge, including treated or untreated sewage, process wastewater, and wash water to enter the MS4 or surface water and any connections to the storm drain system or surface water from indoor drains and sinks, regardless of whether said drain or connection had been previously allowed, permitted, or approved by the authority having jurisdiction; or
- B. Drains or conveyances connected from a commercial or industrial land use to the MS4 or surface water which have not been documented in plans, maps, or equivalent records and approved by an authority having jurisdiction.

**Illicit Discharge.** Any direct or indirect non-stormwater discharge to the MS4 or surface water, except as exempted in § 80-7 of this chapter.



**Individual Sewage Treatment System.** A facility serving one or more parcels of land or residential households, or private, commercial or industrial facility, that treats sewage or other liquid wastes for discharge into the ground waters of New York State, except those for which a permit for such facility is required under the applicable provisions of Article 17 of the Environmental Conservation Law.

**Industrial Activity.** Activities requiring the SPDES permit for discharges from industrial activities except construction, GP-0-08-002, as amended or revised.

**MS4. Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System.**

**Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System.** A conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains):

- A. Owned or operated by the Village of Lansing;
- B. Designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater;
- C. Which is not a combined sewer; and
- D. Which is not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40CFR 122.2

**Municipality.** The Village of Lansing.

**Non-Stormwater Discharge.** Any discharge to the MS4 or surface water that is not composed entirely of stormwater.

**Person.** Any individual, association, organization, partnership, firm, corporation, limited liability company or other entity recognized by law and acting as either the owner or as the owner's agent.

**Pollutant.** Dredged spoil, filter backwash, solid waste, incinerator residue, treated or untreated sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, biological materials, radioactive materials, heated discharges, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand and industrial waste, municipal waste, agricultural waste, ballast discharged into water, and any other substance or materials which may cause or might reasonably be expected to cause pollution of the waters of the state in contravention of the applicable legal and regulatory standards.

**Premises.** Any building, lot, parcel of land, or portion of land, whether improved or unimproved, including adjacent sidewalks and parking strips.

**Special Conditions.**

- A. **Discharge Compliance with Water Quality Standards.** The condition that applies where a municipality has been notified that the discharge of stormwater authorized under their MS4 permit may have caused or has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to the violation of an applicable water quality standard. Under this condition the municipality must take all necessary actions to ensure future discharges do not cause or contribute to a violation of water quality standards.
- B. **303(d) Listed Waters.** The condition in the municipality's MS4 permit that applies where the MS4 discharges to a 303(d) listed water. Under this condition the stormwater management program must ensure no increase of the listed pollutant of concern to the 303(d) listed water.
- C. **Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Strategy.** The condition in the municipality's MS4 permit where a TMDL including requirements for control

of stormwater discharges has been approved by EPA for a waterbody or watershed into which the MS4 discharges. If the discharge from the MS4 did not meet the TMDL stormwater allocations prior to September 10, 2003, the municipality was required to modify its stormwater management program to ensure that reduction of the pollutant of concern specified in the TMDL is achieved.

- D. **Future Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Strategy.** The condition in the municipality's MS4 permit that applies if a TMDL is approved in the future by EPA for any waterbody or watershed into which an MS4 discharges. Under this condition the municipality must review the applicable TMDL to see if it includes requirements for control of stormwater discharges. If an MS4 is not meeting the TMDL stormwater allocations, the municipality must, within six (6) months of the TMDL's approval, modify its stormwater management program to ensure that reduction of the pollutant of concern specified in the TMDL is achieved.

**State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) Stormwater Discharge Permit.** A permit issued by the Department that authorizes the discharge of pollutants to waters of the state.

**Stormwater.** Rainwater, surface runoff, snowmelt and drainage.

**Stormwater Management Officer (SMO).** An employee, the municipal engineer or other public official(s) designated by the legislative board of the municipality to enforce this chapter. The SMO may also be designated by the municipality to accept and review stormwater pollution prevention plans, forward the plans to the applicable municipal board and inspect stormwater management practices in accordance with Chapter 124 of the Village of Lansing Code.

**Surface Waters.** Surface Waters of the State of New York.

**Surface Waters of the State of New York.** Lakes, bays, sounds, ponds, impounding reservoirs, springs, wells, rivers, streams, creeks, estuaries, marshes, inlets, canals, The Atlantic Ocean within the territorial seas of the State of New York and all other bodies of surface water, natural or artificial, fresh or salt, public or private (except those private waters that do not combine or effect a junction with natural surface or underground waters), which are wholly or partially within or bordering the State or within its jurisdiction. Storm sewers and waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons which also meet the criteria of this definition are not waters of the State. This exclusion applies only to manmade bodies of water which neither were originally created in waters of the State (such as a disposal area in wetlands) nor resulted from impoundment of waters of the State.

**303(d) List.** A list of all surface waters in the state for which beneficial uses of the water (drinking, recreation, aquatic habitat, and industrial use) are impaired by pollutants, prepared periodically by the Department as required by Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. 303(d) listed waters are estuaries, lakes and streams that fall short of state surface water quality standards and are not expected to improve within the next two years.

**TMDL.** Total Maximum Daily Load.

**Total Maximum Daily Load.** The maximum amount of a pollutant to be allowed to be released into a waterbody so as not to impair uses of the water, allocated among the sources of that pollutant.

**Wastewater.** Water that is not stormwater, is contaminated with pollutants and is or will be discarded.

**Wetland.** Any area which meets one or more of the following criteria:

- A. Lands and waters that meet the definition provided in New York State Environmental Conservation Law, Article 24, "Freshwater Wetlands Act". The approximate boundaries of such lands and waters are indicated on the official wetlands map promulgated by the Commissioner of New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, or as amended and updated.
- B. Areas which meet the definition used by the US Army Corps of Engineers and US Environmental Protection Agency: "Areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs and similar areas.

**§ 80-4 Applicability.**

This chapter shall apply to all water entering the MS4 or surface waters generated on any developed and undeveloped lands within the municipality unless explicitly exempted by the authority having jurisdiction.

**§ 80-5 Responsibility for Administration.**

The Stormwater Management Officer (SMO) shall administer, implement, and enforce the provisions of this chapter. Such powers granted or duties imposed upon the authorized enforcement official may be delegated in writing by the SMO as may be authorized by the municipality.

**§ 80-6 Severability.**

The provisions of this chapter are hereby declared to be severable. If any provision, clause, sentence, or paragraph of this chapter or the application thereof to any person, establishment, or circumstances shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect the other provisions or application of this chapter.

**ARTICLE II  
Illicit Discharge Controls and Prohibitions**

**§ 80-7 Discharges, Connections, Prohibitions and Exemptions.**

- A. **Prohibition of Illegal Discharges.** No person shall discharge or cause to be discharged into the MS4 any materials other than stormwater except as provided in subsections 1 through 4 below of this § 80-7. The commencement, conduct or continuance of any illegal discharge to the MS4 is prohibited except as described as follows:
  - 1. The following discharges are exempt from discharge prohibitions established by this chapter, unless the Department or the municipality has determined them to be substantial contributors of pollutants: water line flushing or other potable water sources, landscape irrigation or lawn watering, existing diverted stream flows, rising ground water, uncontaminated ground water infiltration to storm drains, uncontaminated pumped ground water, foundation or footing drains, crawl space or basement sump pumps, air conditioning condensate, irrigation water, springs, water from individual residential car washing, natural riparian

habitat or wetland flows, dechlorinated swimming pool discharges, residential street wash water, water from fire fighting activities, and any other water source not containing pollutants. Such exempt discharges shall be made in accordance with an appropriate plan for reducing pollutants.

2. Discharges approved in writing by the SMO to protect life or property from imminent harm or damage, provided that, such approval shall not be construed to constitute compliance with other applicable laws and requirements, and further provided that such discharges may be permitted for a specified time period and under such conditions as the SMO may deem appropriate to protect such life and property while reasonably maintaining the purpose and intent of this chapter.
3. Dye testing in compliance with applicable state and local laws is an allowable discharge, but requires a verbal notification to the SMO prior to the time of the test.
4. The prohibition shall not apply to any discharge permitted under a SPDES permit, waiver, or waste discharge order issued to the discharger and administered under the authority of the Department, provided that the discharger is in full compliance with all requirements of the permit, waiver, or order and other applicable laws and regulations, and provided that written approval has been granted for any discharge to the MS4.

**B. Prohibition of Illicit Connections.**

1. The construction, use, maintenance or continued existence of illicit connections to the MS4 is prohibited.
2. This prohibition expressly includes, without limitation, illicit connections made in the past, regardless of whether the connection was permissible under law or practices applicable or prevailing at the time of connection.
3. A person is considered to be in violation of this chapter if the person connects a line conveying sewage to the municipality's MS4, or allows such a connection to continue.

**§ 80-8 Prohibition Against Failing Individual Sewage Treatment Systems.**

Individual sewage treatment systems shall comply with the codes, rules and regulations of the Tompkins County Health Department.

**§ 80-9 Prohibition Against Activities Contaminating Stormwater.**

- A. Activities that are subject to the requirements of this section are those types of activities that:
  1. Cause or contribute to a violation of the municipality's MS4 SPDES permit.
  2. Cause or contribute to the municipality being subject to the Special Conditions as defined in § 80-3 of this chapter.
- B. Upon notification to a person that they are engaged in activities that cause or contribute to violations of the municipality's MS4 SPDES permit authorization, that person shall without delay take all necessary actions to correct such activities such that they no

longer cause or contribute to violations of the municipality's MS4 SPDES permit authorization.

**§ 80-10 Requirement to Prevent, Control and Reduce Stormwater Pollutants by the Use of Best Management Practices.**

- A. Best Management Practices. Where the SMO has identified illicit discharges as defined in § 80-3 of this chapter or activities contaminating stormwater as defined in § 80-9 of this chapter the municipality may require implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control those illicit discharges and activities.**
- 1. The owner or operator of a commercial or industrial establishment shall provide, at their own expense, protection from accidental discharge of prohibited materials or other wastes into the MS4 through the use of structural and non-structural BMPs.**
  - 2. Any owner of a property or premise, which is the source of an illicit discharge as defined in § 80-3 of this chapter or an activity contaminating stormwater as defined in § 80-9 of this chapter, shall be required to implement, at said owner's expense, BMPs to eliminate the source of pollutant(s) to the MS4.**
  - 3. Compliance with all terms and conditions of a valid SPDES permit authorizing the discharge of stormwater associated with industrial activity shall be deemed compliance with the provisions of this section.**

**§ 80-11 Suspension of Access to MS4; Illicit Discharges in Emergency Situations.**

- A. The SMO shall be authorized to suspend, without prior notice, MS4 discharge access to a person when such suspension is necessary to stop an actual or threatened discharge that presents or may present imminent and substantial danger to the environment, to the health or welfare of persons, or to the MS4. The SMO shall notify the person of such suspension within 72 hours thereafter in writing of the reasons for the suspension.**
- B. Termination or suspension due to the detection of illicit discharge. Any person discharging to the municipality's MS4 in violation of this chapter may have their MS4 access terminated or suspended if such termination or suspension would abate or reduce an illicit discharge. The SMO will notify a violator in writing of the proposed termination or suspension of its MS4 access and the reasons therefor. The violator may petition the SMO for a reconsideration and hearing. Access may be granted by the SMO if he/she finds that the illicit discharge has ceased and the discharger has taken steps to prevent its recurrence. Access may be denied if the SMO determines in writing that the illicit discharge has not ceased or is likely to recur. A person commits an offense if the person reinstates MS4 access to premises terminated or suspended pursuant to this section, without the prior approval of the SMO.**

**§ 80-12 Industrial or Construction Activity Discharges.**

**Any person subject to an industrial or construction activity SPDES stormwater discharge permit shall comply with all provisions of such permit. Proof of compliance with said permit may be required in a form acceptable to the municipality prior to the allowing of discharges to the MS4.**

**§ 80-13 Access and Monitoring of Discharges.**

- A. Applicability. This section applies to all facilities that the SMO must inspect to enforce any provision of this chapter, or whenever the authorized enforcement agency has cause**

to believe that there exists, or potentially exists, in or upon any premises any condition that constitutes a violation of this chapter.

**B. Access to Facilities.**

1. The SMO shall be permitted to enter and inspect facilities subject to regulation under this chapter as often as may be necessary to determine compliance with this chapter. If a discharger has security measures in force that require proper identification and clearance before entry into its premises, the discharger shall make the necessary arrangements to allow access to the SMO.
2. Facility operators shall allow the SMO ready access to all parts of the premises for the purposes of inspection, sampling, examination and copying of records as may be required to implement this chapter.
3. The municipality shall have the right to set up on any facility subject to this chapter such devices as are necessary in the opinion of the SMO to conduct monitoring and/or sampling of the facility's stormwater discharge. All expenses in connection with the installation, monitoring and maintenance of such equipment shall be the responsibility of and paid for by the discharger.
4. The municipality shall have the right to require the facilities subject to this chapter to install monitoring equipment as is reasonably necessary to determine compliance with this chapter. The facility's sampling and monitoring equipment shall be maintained at all times in a safe and proper operating condition by the discharger at its own expense. All devices used to measure stormwater flow and quality shall be calibrated to ensure their accuracy. All expenses in connection with the installation, monitoring and maintenance of such equipment shall be the responsibility of and paid for by the discharger.
5. In any case that the SMO is refused timely access to any part of the premises from which stormwater is discharged, and he/she is able to demonstrate probable cause to believe that there may be a violation of this chapter, or that there is a need to inspect and/or sample as part of a routine inspection and sampling program designed to verify compliance with this chapter or any order issued hereunder, then the SMO may terminate or suspend access to the MS4 and/or seek issuance of a search warrant from any court of competent jurisdiction, to enter the property to carry out and/or enforce the provisions of this chapter.

**ARTICLE III  
Administration and Enforcement**

**§ 80-14 Notification of Spills.**

Notwithstanding other requirements of law, as soon as any person responsible for a facility or operation, or responsible for emergency response for a facility or operation has information of any known or suspected release of materials that are resulting or may result in illegal discharges or pollutants discharging into the MS4, said person shall take all necessary steps to ensure the discovery, containment, and cleanup of such release. In the event of such a release of hazardous materials said person shall immediately notify emergency response agencies of the occurrence via emergency dispatch services. In the event of a release of non-hazardous materials, said person shall notify the municipality in person or by telephone, fax or email no later than the next business day. Notifications in person or by telephone shall be confirmed by written notice

addressed and mailed to the municipality within three business days of the telephone notice. If the discharge of prohibited materials emanates from a commercial or industrial establishment, the owner or operator of such establishment shall also retain an on-site written record of the discharge and the actions taken to prevent its recurrence. Such records shall be retained for at least three years.

**§ 80-15 Enforcement.**

- A. Compliance Orders.** The SMO is authorized to order in writing the remedying of any condition or activity found to exist in, on or about any premises in violation of this chapter. Upon finding that any such condition or activity exists, the SMO shall be authorized to issue an order directing compliance (Compliance Order). The Compliance Order shall (1) be in writing; (2) be dated and signed by the SMO; (3) specify the condition or activity that violates this chapter, or other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations; (4) specify the provision or provisions of this chapter or other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations which is/are violated by the specified condition or activity; (5) specify the period of time which the SMO deems to be reasonably necessary for achieving compliance; (6) direct that compliance be achieved within the specified period of time; (7) state that an action or proceeding to compel compliance may be instituted if compliance is not achieved within the specified period of time; and (8) advise that, should the violator fail to comply within the established deadline, the work will be done by a designated governmental agency or a contractor and the expense thereof shall be charged to the violator. The SMO shall cause the Compliance Order, or a copy thereof, to be served on the owner of the affected property personally or by certified mail, return receipt requested. The SMO shall be permitted, but not required, to cause the Compliance Order, or a copy thereof, to be served personally or by certified mail, return receipt requested, on any builder, architect, tenant, contractor, subcontractor, construction superintendent, or their agents, or any other Person taking part or assisting in work being performed at the affected property; provided, however, that failure to serve any Person mentioned in this sentence shall not affect the efficacy of the Compliance Order. The Compliance Order may require without limitation:
1. The elimination of illicit connections or discharges;
  2. That violating discharges, practices, or operations shall cease and desist;
  3. The abatement or remediation of stormwater pollution or contamination hazards and the restoration of any affected property;
  4. The performance of monitoring, analyses, and reporting;
  5. Payment of a fine; and
  6. The implementation of source control or treatment BMPs.
- B. Appearance Tickets.** In the event the owner fails to meet the requirements of the Compliance Order, the legislative board of the municipality may authorize the SMO to issue appearance tickets for any violation of this chapter and other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations.
- C. Penalties.** In addition to those penalties proscribed by State law, any Person who violates any provision of this chapter or other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations, or any lawful order issued pursuant to this chapter or other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations, shall be:

1. liable to a civil penalty of not more than \$250 for each day or part thereof during which such violation continues, which civil penalty shall be recoverable in an action instituted in the name of the municipality; and
  2. deemed guilty of an offense upon conviction and is subject to a fine equal to two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.) or imprisonment for not more than fifteen (15) days, or both, for each such violation; and
  3. each day that any such violation continues to exist shall be deemed to result in a separate and distinct violation, each of which separate violation shall subject the violator to an additional two hundred fifty dollar (\$250.) civil penalty and/or fine. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in any case that this chapter expressly provides for a grace period within which the violator is permitted to cure the violation, each day during such grace period shall not be deemed to result in a separate violation that shall subject the violator to such fine. In addition, a violation of this chapter constitutes a violation under the Penal Law of the State of New York.
- D. **Injunctive Relief.** An action or proceeding may be instituted in the name of the municipality in a court of competent jurisdiction, to prevent, restrain, enjoin, correct, or abate any violation of, or to enforce, any provision of this chapter or other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations, or any lawful order issued pursuant to this chapter or other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations. In particular, but not by way of limitation, where any connection or discharge to the MS4 or surface water is in violation of any provision of this chapter or other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations, or any lawful order issued pursuant to this chapter or other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations, an action or proceeding may be commenced in the name of the municipality, in the Supreme Court or in any other court having the requisite jurisdiction, to obtain an order directing the abatement of the condition in violation of such provisions. No action or proceeding described in this subdivision shall be commenced without the appropriate authorization from the legislative board of the municipality.
- E. **Remedies Not Exclusive.** No remedy or penalty specified in this section shall be the exclusive remedy or remedy available to address any violation described in this section, and each remedy or penalty specified in this section shall be in addition to, and not in substitution for or limitation of, the other remedies or penalties specified in this chapter, or in any other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations. Any remedy or penalty specified in this section may be pursued at any time, whether prior to, simultaneously with, or after the pursuit of any other remedy or penalty specified in this section or in any other section of this chapter, or in any other applicable codes, laws, rules and regulations. In particular, but not by way of limitation, each remedy and penalty specified in this section shall be in addition to, and not in substitution for or limitation of, the penalties specified in subdivision (2) of section 382 of the Executive Law, and any remedy or penalty specified in this section may be pursued at any time, whether prior to, simultaneously with, or after the pursuit of any penalty specified in.

**§ 80-16 Alternative Remedies.**

- A. Where a person has violated a provision of this chapter, he/she may be eligible for alternative remedies in lieu of a civil penalty, upon recommendation of the legislative board of the municipality where:
1. The violation was unintentional.
  2. The violator has no history of previous violations of this chapter.



3. **Environmental damage was minimal.**
4. **Violator acted quickly to remedy violation.**
5. **Violator cooperated in investigation and resolution.**

**B. Alternative remedies may consist of one or more of the following:**

1. **Attendance at compliance workshops.**
2. **Cleanup of municipality owned stormwater management practices.**
3. **Stream, creek, drainage way or roadside ditch cleanup activities.**

SECTION III. SUPERCEDING EFFECT.

All local laws, resolutions, rules, regulations and other enactments of the Village of Lansing in conflict with the provisions of this local law are hereby superceded to the extent necessary to give this local law full force and effect.

SECTION IV. VALIDITY.

The invalidity of any provision of this local law shall not affect the validity of any other provision of this local law that can be given effect without such invalid provision.

SECTION V. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Local Law shall be effective upon its filing in the office of the Secretary of State; provided, however that it shall be effective from the date of service as against a person served with a copy thereof, certified by the Village Clerk, and showing the date of its passage and entry in the Minutes of the Village Board of Trustees.

The Board then completed the SEQR Short EAF environmental review for Proposed Local Law E (2011) and found that the proposed action would not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts. The Mayor entertained the following motion:

Resolution # 5734-SEQR for Proposed Local Law E (2011)

**WHEREAS:**

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Adoption of Proposed Local Law E (2011), to be designated Local Law 5 (2011) upon its adoption, to amend, clarify and make less restrictive “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as uses in the Business and Technology District and the additional building permit/special permit conditions related thereto; and to amend accordingly the provisions in (i) Section 145-44 [entitled “Business and Technology District (“BTD”)”]; (ii) Section 145-58 [entitled “Additional conditions for building/land use permits”]; (iii) Section 145-60 [entitled “Additional conditions for certain Special Permit uses”]; and (iv) Section 145-82 [entitled “Typical uses; category of use”] of

Chapter 145 [entitled “Zoning”] of the Village of Lansing Code; the purpose and intent being based upon a determination, after thorough consideration and evaluation by the Village Planning Board and Board of Trustees, that the required conditions for “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as uses in the Business and Technology District need not be as restrictive as originally determined given current and projected land use development and considerations related thereto; and

- B. This proposed action is an Unlisted Action for which the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees is an involved agency for the purposes of environmental review; and
- C. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees, in performing the lead agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQR”), (i) thoroughly reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the “Short EAF”), Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) thoroughly analyzed the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) completed the Short EAF, Part II;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. The Village of Lansing Board of Trustees, based upon (i) its thorough review of the Short EAF, Part I, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) its thorough review of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), and (iii) its completion of the Short EAF, Part II, including the findings noted thereon (which findings are incorporated herein as if set forth at length), hereby makes a negative determination of environmental significance (“**NEGATIVE DECLARATION**”) in accordance with SEQR for the above referenced proposed action, and determines that neither a Full Environmental Assessment Form, nor an Environmental Impact Statement will be required; and:
2. The Responsible Officer of the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees is hereby authorized and directed to complete and sign as required the Short EAF, Part III, confirming the foregoing **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**, which fully completed and signed Short EAF shall be attached to and made a part of this Resolution.

Trustee Baker moved this resolution. Trustee O’Neill seconded the

motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye  
Trustee John O'Neill-Aye  
Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye

Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye  
Trustee Julie Baker-Aye

Resolution# 5735-To Adopt Proposed Local Law E as Local Law 5 (2011)

**WHEREAS:**

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Adoption of Proposed Local Law E (2011), to be designated Local Law 5 (2011) upon its adoption, to amend, clarify and make less restrictive “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as uses in the Business and Technology District and the additional building permit/special permit conditions related thereto; and to amend accordingly the provisions in (i) Section 145-44 [entitled “Business and Technology District (“BTD”)”]; (ii) Section 145-58 [entitled “Additional conditions for building/land use permits”]; (iii) Section 145-60 [entitled “Additional conditions for certain Special Permit uses”]; and (iv) Section 145-82 [entitled “Typical uses; category of use”] of Chapter 145 [entitled “Zoning”] of the Village of Lansing Code; the purpose and intent being based upon a determination, after thorough consideration and evaluation by the Village Planning Board and Board of Trustees, that the required conditions for “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as uses in the Business and Technology District need not be as restrictive as originally determined given current and projected land use development and considerations related thereto; and
- B. The Village of Lansing Planning Board has (i) performed a review of the proposed action and (ii) referred such proposed action to the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees with a recommendation for its approval; and
- C. On May 2, 2011, and after discussion at meetings prior thereto, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees further discussed the purposes and intent of Proposed Local Law E (2011), and thereupon scheduled a public hearing thereon for May 16, 2011; and
- D. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees held a public hearing regarding this proposed action, and thereafter discussed and reviewed (i) Proposed Local Law E (2011), (ii) the Village of Lansing Planning Board’s recommendation of the proposed action, (iii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iv) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board’s deliberations; and
- E. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees determined that the proposed action is an Unlisted Action for which the Board is an involved agency, and thereupon, in performing the lead agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality

Review Act (“SEQR”), the Board (i) thoroughly reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form (the “Short EAF”), Part 1, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review, (ii) thoroughly analyzed the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), (iii) completed the Short EAF, Part 2; and (iv) made a negative determination of environmental significance (“Negative Declaration”) in accordance with SEQR for the above referenced proposed action and determined that an Environmental Impact Statement would not be required; and

- F. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees completed its review of (i) Proposed Local Law E (2011), (ii) the Village of Lansing Planning Board’s recommendation of the proposed action, (iii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iv) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board’s deliberations;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

The Village of Lansing Board of Trustees hereby adopts the attached Proposed Local Law E (2011), to be designated Local Law 5 (2011).

Trustee O’Rourke moved this resolution. Trustee Leopold seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye  
Trustee John O’Neill-Aye  
Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye

Trustee Patricia O’Rourke-Aye  
Trustee Julie Baker-Aye

The following is a copy of Local Law 5 (2011):

AMENDMENT TO VILLAGE OF LANSING CODE – ZONING LAW – EMPLOYEE  
CAFETERIA AND LOW TRAFFIC FOOD AND BEVERAGE USES IN BUSINESS AND  
TECHNOLOGY DISTRICT

Be it enacted by the Board of Trustees of the Village of Lansing as follows:

**SECTION I. PURPOSE & INTENT.**

It is the purpose and intent of this local law, to amend, clarify and make less restrictive “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as uses in the Business and Technology District and the additional building permit/special permit conditions related thereto; and to amend accordingly the provisions in (i) Section 145-44 [entitled “Business and Technology District (“BTD”)”]; (ii) Section 145-58 [entitled “Additional conditions for building/land use permits”]; (iii) Section 145-60 [entitled “Additional conditions for certain Special Permit uses”]; and (iv) Section 145-82 [entitled “Typical uses; category of use”] of Chapter 145 [entitled “Zoning”] of the Village of Lansing Code. Such purpose and intent is based upon a determination, after thorough consideration and evaluation by the

Village Planning Board and Board of Trustees, that the required conditions for “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as uses in the Business and Technology District need not be as restrictive as originally determined given current and projected land use development and considerations related thereto.

SECTION II. AMENDMENTS TO THE VILLAGE OF LANSING CODE.

- A. Subsection “C” of Section 145-44 [entitled “Business and Technology District (“BTD”)] of Chapter 145 [entitled “Zoning”] of the Village of Lansing Code is hereby amended so as to include a new subclause “(1)” under subsection “C” [entitled “Permitted uses with additional conditions”] adding employee cafeteria food and beverage service as a permitted use with additional conditions in the Business and Technology District, the additional conditions to be set forth in a new subsection “D” of Section 145-58 [entitled “Additional conditions for building/land use permits”]; such amended Subsection “C” to read in its entirety as follows:

**C. Permitted uses with additional conditions. Permitted uses with additional conditions shall be as follows:**

**(1) Employee cafeteria food and beverage service.**

- B. Section 145-58 [entitled “Additional conditions for building/land use permits”] of Chapter 145 [entitled “Zoning”] of the Village of Lansing Code is hereby amended so as to add a new subsection “D” setting forth the required additional condition for employee cafeteria food and beverage service as a permitted use in the Business and Technology District; such new subsection “D” to read in its entirety as follows:

**D. Employee cafeteria foods and beverage service. Permitted as alteration to building or improved site subject to the conditions as required in §145-58B.**

- C. Subsection “N” [entitled “Low Traffic Food and Beverage in Business and Technology District] of Section 145-60 [entitled “Additional conditions for certain Special Permit uses”] of Chapter 145 [entitled “Zoning”] of the Village of Lansing Code is hereby amended so as to clarify and make less restrictive “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as uses in the Business and Technology District and the additional building permit/special permit conditions related thereto; such new subsection “N” to read in its entirety as follows:

**N. Low Traffic Food and Beverage in Business and Technology District  
Food and beverage services shall be permitted subject to the following conditions:**

- (1) There shall be no more than four (4) low traffic food and beverage service uses located within the Business and Technology District.**
- (2) Such food and beverage service use shall be located within and operated from a building in the Business and Technology District and shall not occupy more than two thousand (2,000) square feet.**
- (3) Such food and beverage service area may provide no more than fifty (50) interior or exterior on-site seating.**
- (4) No signage of any kind for such food and beverage service area shall be allowed, unless approved in the special permit application for the business by the Village of Lansing, on the outside of any building or at any other location within the Business and Technology District for**

advertising, identification or other purposes. [If such business is located within the Cornell Business and Technology Park, said business shall comply with the Cornell Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area provisions.]

- (5) There shall be no more than two (2) interior identification signs permitted. One (1) such sign shall be allowed at the entrance to the space occupied by such food and beverage service provider and one (1) shall be permitted in a window which will be no larger than four (4) square feet. Signage shall conform in all respects to the signage standards for such building.
- (6) Such food and beverage service area shall be open for business only during the regular business hours of those businesses located within the Business and Technology District, and under no circumstances shall be open earlier than 5:30 A.M. or later than 6:00 P.M.
- (7) Any such food and beverage service provider shall be allowed to accept orders for food and beverages to be picked up or delivered, and shall be further allowed to visit buildings with food carts and similar mobile apparatus intended for the delivery of permitted food and beverage products.

D. Section 145-82 [entitled "Typical uses; category of use"]of Chapter 145 [entitled "Zoning"] of the Village of Lansing Code is hereby amended so as to add as subclause "(39)" employee cafeteria food and beverage service as a separate use, such current subclause "(39)" and subclause "(40)" being re-designated as subclauses "(40)" and "(41)" respectively; such new subclause "(39)" to read in its entirety as follows:

- (39) **Employee cafeteria food and beverage service. Food service provided for only the employees (and their guests, clients, customers, etc.) of the building where such service is located (which building is being used for a permitted use).**

SECTION III. SUPERCEDING EFFECT.

All local laws, resolutions, rules, regulations and other enactments of the Village of Lansing in conflict with the provisions of this local law are hereby superceded to the extent necessary to give this local law full force and effect.

SECTION IV. VALIDITY.

The invalidity of any provision of this local law shall not affect the validity of any other provision of this local law that can be given effect without such invalid provision.

SECTION V. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Local Law shall be effective upon (i) its filing in the office of the Secretary of State and (ii) ten (10) days after publication and posting as required by law; provided, however that it shall be effective from the date of service as against a person served with a copy thereof, certified by the Village Clerk, and showing the date of its passage and entry in the Minutes of the Village Board of Trustees.

Next on the Agenda was to approve the amendment of the Business & Technology Park Planned Sign Area. Dubow explained that this resolution is to add Planned Sign Area language consistent with the newly adopted provisions in Local Law 5 (2011) regarding

the low traffic food and beverage use in the Business & Technology District. Mayor Hartill presented the following resolution:

Resolution # 5736-To Approve the Amendment of the Business and  
Technology Park Planned Sign Area

**WHEREAS:**

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: A minor amendment of the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area in conjunction with the adoption of Local Law 5 (2011), which local law clarifies and makes less restrictive “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as Zoning Law uses in the Business and Technology District, including modified signage provisions, it being the purpose and intent of this resolution to make the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area provisions consistent with the amended signage provisions set forth in Proposed Local Law E (2011). Such purpose and intent is based upon a determination, after thorough consideration and evaluation by the Village Planning Board and Board of Trustees, that the required signage conditions for “employee cafeteria food and beverage service” and “low traffic food and beverage service” as uses in the Business and Technology District need not be as restrictive as originally determined given current and projected land use development and considerations related thereto; and
- B. The Village of Lansing Planning Board has (i) performed a review of the proposed action and (ii) referred such proposed action to the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees with a recommendation for its approval; and
- C. The appropriate parties related to and controlling the property encompassing the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area are in concurrence with the proposed action; and
- D. On May 16, 2011, the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees determined that (i) the proposed action, constituting a minor amendment to the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area, does not require a public hearing; and (ii) in accordance with Section 123-2 of the Village of Lansing Code, the approval of the proposed minor amendment to the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area is a Type II action, and thus may be processed without further regard to Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQR);

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

The Village of Lansing Board of Trustees hereby approves the following amendments to the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area plan:

A. All references to “Tompkins County Airport” in the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area plan are hereby replaced so as to read “Ithaca Tompkins Regional Airport.”

B. Subsection “A” (entitled “Sign Types: Business and Technology Park”) of the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area plan is hereby amended so as to add a new subsection ‘9’ reading as follows:

9. **Zoning Law/Low Traffic Food and Beverage Use Signs.** No signage of any kind for a low traffic food and beverage service area approved under the Village Zoning Law shall be allowed along Warren Road or New York State Route 13. Such food and beverage service use shall be allowed to have three (3) directional signs within the Business and Technology Park with approval from both the Cornell Business and Technology Park and the Village of Lansing Board of Trustees. In addition, one parcel number and name sign as provided for in subsection “4” above shall be permitted near the driveway exit/entrance for the building in which such low traffic food and beverage service use is to be located.

C. Subsection “B” (to be entitled “Sign Types: Ithaca Tompkins Regional Airport”) of the Business and Technology Park Planned Sign Area plan is hereby amended so as to add a new subsection ‘5’ reading as follows:

4. **Zoning Law/Low Traffic Food and Beverage Use Signs.** See A.9 above.

Trustee Leopold moved this resolution. Trustee O’Rourke seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye  
Trustee John O’Neill-Aye  
Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye

Trustee Patricia O’Rourke-Aye  
Trustee Julie Baker-Aye

Lynn Leopold then presented the following executive summary for the annual Stormwater Discharge Report.

**Executive Summary**  
2010-2011 Annual Report for Phase II SPDES General Permit for  
**Stormwater Discharges from Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s)**  
**Village of Lansing, May 16, 2011 Public Presentation**

This Annual Report has been prepared in compliance with the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation permitting requirements for small (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s), which includes the Village of Lansing. The Village has been required to meet the new EPA Phase II Stormwater regulations since 2003 and has been working toward full permit compliance. This Annual Report documents the progress that the



Village made toward compliance, covering the period from March 10, 2010 through March 9, 2011. Below is a summary of the six Minimum Control Measures (MCMs), which include:

- Public Education and Outreach on Stormwater Impacts
- Public Involvement/Participation
- Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
- Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control
- Post-Construction Stormwater Management
- Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

**MCM 1: Public Education/Outreach on Stormwater Impacts**

The Village is represented on the Stormwater Coalition of Tompkins County, which has coordinated many public education and outreach opportunities for stormwater education. Efforts include: providing trainings for local officials, contractors and others on controlling stormwater. Other entities, such as the Cayuga Lake Watershed Intermunicipal Organization, of which the Village is a member, Tompkins County Soil and Water Conservation District, and the Cayuga Lake Watershed Network, provide stormwater educational programs, such as the Floating Classroom, Lake Fest, training for local officials, contractors and builders. A list of these activities is in the Annual Report.

**MCM 2: Public Involvement/Participation**

As with MCM 1, the Village has benefited from the efforts of many organizations and agencies to include public in stormwater activities, such as litter clean-ups, stream bank stabilizations, trash and hazardous waste management, pharmaceutical collections and volunteer monitoring of local streams and the Lake, Floating Classroom tours for school children and adults. See the Annual Report for more detail.

**MCM 3: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination**

The Village is adopting legislation tonight to control illicit discharges to Village waterways and storm sewers. Illicit discharges, such as dumping wastewater directly into the Village's storm sewers, are already prohibited under the Tompkins County Sanitary Code. Other discharges, such as dumping waste oil or other pollutants into the storm sewer system, ditches or streams, are now prohibited by Village law. Department of Public Works staff routinely inspects and repair, if needed, stormwater conveyance and treatment systems within the Village.

**MCM 4: Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control**

Developers in the Village must abide by the Stormwater law of 2007 when designing and implementing developments that disturb one acre of land or more. New developments must submit Stormwater Pollution Prevent Plans, which the Village Planning Board/Engineer reviews. Licensed engineers who are trained in stormwater management must monitor construction sites.

**MCM 5: Post-Construction Stormwater Management**

This requirement is much like the previous one, except that once the development is finished, the area must be monitored to ensure that stormwater does not cause erosion that will carry sediment off the site. These activities are now covered under our local law.

## **MCM 6: Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations**

The Village complies with stormwater regulations in its own municipal operations, such as highway, bridge, park, rights-of-way and building maintenance. We already have many measures in place to ensure that pollutants are properly managed and not allowed to enter our waterways. Examples: road salt is stored in its own closed building, retention basins and storm sewers checked and cleaned annually, streets swept in spring to remove sand and grit. DPW staff is responsible for inspecting and maintaining all Village stormwater conveyances and structures, as well as all outfalls, culverts and ditches. The new Village garage will allow highway staff to store vehicles and perform maintenance on them in an enclosed space, which also provides containment for vehicle fluids, keeping them out of surface and groundwater systems.

In April, 2008, the DEC issued the new General SPDES Permits for stormwater [Construction Activities, GP-0-10-002 and the SPDES General Permit for Discharges from Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s), GP-0-10-002], which covers construction activities, from May 1, 2008—April 30, 2010. The following activities relevant to our area are covered under the new permit:

1. *Construction activities* involving soil disturbances of one (1) or more acres; including disturbances of less than one acre that are part of a *larger common plan of development or sale* that will ultimately disturb one or more acres of land; excluding *routine maintenance activity* that is performed to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity or original purpose of a facility;
2. *Construction activities* involving soil disturbances of less than one (1) acre where the Department has determined that a *SPDES* permit is required for stormwater discharges based on the potential for contribution to a violation of a *water quality standard* or for significant contribution of *pollutants* to *surface waters of the State*.

The point of this effort: the south end of Cayuga Lake is a 303 (d) listed waterbody of concern, according to the US EPA. Stormwater control efforts are meant to improve water quality by reducing sediment and pollution currently entering the lake.

Lynn is still waiting on some information from John Courtney but once she gets that she will complete the Annual Report and get it to the Mayor to sign. Jodi will add the Executive Summary to the Village of Lansing webpage. Don thanked Lynn for completing this unfunded mandate.

The next item on the agenda was to approve the Tax Warrant. Mayor Hartill entertained the following motion:

Resolution #5737- To Approve the 2011-12 Tax Warrant

WARRANT TO TREASURER TO COLLECT TAXES

To: Jodi L. Dake  
Treasurer of the Village of Lansing

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to receive and collect from the several names in the assessment roll, hereunto annexed, the several sums named in the last column thereof opposite their respective names, altogether a total of \$953,960.83 , for the following purposes:

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| For the General Fund                            | 522,780.44 |
| For the Special Assessment-Sewer Collector Fund | 19,702.25  |
| For Delinquent Water Rents                      | 3,249.08   |
| For Delinquent Sewer Rents                      | 679.06     |
| For Cayuga Heights Sewer Rents                  | 407,550.00 |

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to receive as much thereof as may voluntarily be paid to you from June first to and including July first of this year, without additional charge, and after expiration of the time mentioned to proceed forthwith to collect the residue of the sums not so paid in as aforesaid, with five per centum charge for the first month and an additional one per centum charge for each month and fraction thereof thereafter, and you are to file the tax roll and warrant in your office on or before the 15<sup>th</sup> day of November 2011, at which time the taxes will be returned to the County tax roll.

Trustee O'Rourke moved to approve the tax warrant. Trustee O'Neill seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

|                               |                          |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye      | Trustee John O'Neill-Aye |
| Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye  |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye      |                          |

Next on the agenda was to approve the minutes from May 2, 2011.

Motion - To Approve the Minutes from May 2, 2010

Trustee Baker moved that the draft meeting notes, as reviewed and revised by the Clerk/Treasurer and the Board, are hereby adopted as the official minutes. Trustee O'Rourke seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

|                               |                          |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye      | Trustee John O'Neill-Aye |
| Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye  |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye      |                          |

During the Mayor's Comments Don discussed some erosion issues due to all the rain we've been having. Sorel added that the deer have cleared the underbrush of the forests

which has caused a problem. Don stated that we are in the initial stages of the proposed new Village Office design. Next week Don will be going to the NYCOM Conference in Saratoga Springs to express his interest and concerns in funding of various levels of government and related Village/Town matters and intermunicipal matters. The storm drains will be finished this summer on Dart Drive and then the road will be repaved. We will also be replacing the water main on Cayuga Heights Road and on Burdick Hill Rd. Don stated that the main on Cayuga Heights Rd. will be replaced from the top of the hill down to the end of the houses before East Shore Drive. The lines from Twin Glens south to Cedar lane have already been replaced. The issue with this line has been the improper installation.

During general comments Lynn Leopold brought up the issue of Ash Borer coming to this area. She also stated that she will email the notes from the April Water Resource meeting to the Board.

Lynn also reported that the Stormwater Coalition will be contracting with SCTC which will cost \$7,500-10,000. This will come out of the Stormwater fund to which we already pay \$1,500 a year. Lynn will send the draft document to Dubow to review after the Stormwater Coalition meeting on Wednesday.

John O'Neill stated that he had an article which talks about Congress getting involved with natural gas fracking if anyone would like to look at it. Lastly, Lynn stated that the Regional Planning & Community Design for a Renewable Energy Future Conference was going to be held at Cornell on June 3<sup>rd</sup> if anyone is interested in attending. The cost is \$70.

Motion- To Adjourn

Trustee Baker moved for adjournment. Trustee Leopold seconded the motion to adjourn. A vote was taken:

|                               |                          |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mayor Donald Hartill-Aye      | Trustee John O'Neill-Aye |
| Trustee Patricia O'Rourke-Aye | Trustee Julie Baker-Aye  |
| Trustee Lynn Leopold-Aye      |                          |

The meeting adjourned at 8:45 pm.

Jodi Dake  
Clerk/Treasurer